



Train Door Systems Analysis: Appendixes

DETAILS

0 pages | | PAPERBACK

ISBN 978-0-309-43167-5 | DOI 10.17226/21994

AUTHORS

BUY THIS BOOK

FIND RELATED TITLES

Visit the National Academies Press at NAP.edu and login or register to get:

- Access to free PDF downloads of thousands of scientific reports
- 10% off the price of print titles
- Email or social media notifications of new titles related to your interests
- Special offers and discounts



Distribution, posting, or copying of this PDF is strictly prohibited without written permission of the National Academies Press. (Request Permission) Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences.

Copyright © National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in cooperation with the Transit Development Corporation. It was conducted through the Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP), which is administered by the Transportation Research Board (TRB) of the National Academies.

DISCLAIMER

The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied in the report are those of the research agency. They are not necessarily those of the TRB, the National Research Council, the FTA, the Transit Development Corporation, or the U.S. Government.

This report has not been edited by TRB.

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. William A. Wulf is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, on its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both the Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. William A. Wulf are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is a division of the National Research Council, which serves the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering. The Board's mission is to promote innovation and progress in transportation through research. In an objective and interdisciplinary setting, the Board facilitates the sharing of information on transportation practice and policy by researchers and practitioners; stimulates research and offers research management services that promote technical excellence; provides expert advice on transportation policy and programs; and disseminates research results broadly and encourages their implementation. The Board's varied activities annually engage more than 5,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation.

www.TRB.org

www.national-academies.org

Contents

- Appendix A Train Door Database Design Documents, A-1**
 - A1 Database Design Notes and Tools for in-Depth Analysis, A-1
 - A2 Database Design Documents, A-2

- Appendix B Design Information on traindoors.com, B-1**

- Appendix C Getting Train Door Information from and into traindoors.com, C-1**
 - C1 Traindoors.com Results Presentation, C-1
 - C2 Entering New Data for a Car Class or Transit Agency, C-8
 - C3 Other traindoors.com Features, C-10

- Appendix D RSETF Train Door Project History, D-1**
 - D1 Mission and Goals, D-1
 - D2 The Birth of an Idea – A Rail Car Door System Analysis Project, D-2
 - D3 Project Focus – Five Major Heavy Rail Rapid Transit Systems, D-3
 - D4 The Development of a Research Methodology, D-4
 - D5 Door Field Questionnaire Creation, D-5
 - D6 Field Interview Process, D-8
 - D7 Information Analysis – The Creation of a Database, D-8
 - D8 Communication to the Industry – The “Hold That Door” Technical Session, D-9
 - D9 The New Initiative – The Transportation Cooperative Research Program, D-10
 - D10 Advantages of a Website, D-12

Appendix A

Train Door Database Design Documents

A1 Database Design Notes and Tools for in-Depth Analysis

A database is a set of related tables in which the data is stored. For the *traindoors.com* database, the tables reflect the data collection forms used to survey the information on detailed door systems: types of door failures, failure causes, components, operating procedures, environmental conditions and so on, which were collected from the responding transit agencies and stored in these tables.

The tables for the database were created in MySQL for PHP for reasons noted above.

As also shown above, *traindoors.com* will provide two pre-formatted data output sets:

- Display selected or complete results for a single transit agency.
- Display selected results for equivalent items across *all* transit agencies.

The Train Door team encourages in-depth analysis of data from the *traindoors.com* website. Accordingly, the website provides tools for users who want to explore more complex data relationships. This is important, because it is not practical to pre-select all useful combinations of selected variables from separate tables. Presently there are approximately 191 different data items embedded in 28 separate tables.

For the user who wants to probe deeper into the available data, or to view it in a different form, the *traindoors.com* website permits the user to download selected tables from a drop-down list into an Excel file. This file or files can be used for manipulation as a spreadsheet or flat file for sorting, graphing etc., or in turn be exported to Access or other database applications for the conditional extraction of data. As shown above, *traindoors.com* makes two key design provisions:

- At www.traindoors.com/database.html, a user can find the complete structure of the MySQL database tables, as well as introductory material describing the database structure. For reference when selecting a table from the drop-down list, field and table names are listed and defined in this set of data dictionaries which is listed:
 1. By survey response field, organized by survey headings,
 2. By field name and table where it appears
 3. By table name, and the fields (field name) within the table.
- At www.traindoors.com/export.html, a user can export the contents of some or all of the database tables to an Excel-compatible spreadsheet format.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

With these two resources, a user can take data sets, generate new queries and combinations of data, and create reports with many different analytic purposes.

Because the Train Door team wanted to give the user the option to make use of the tables directly by downloading a selected table or a set of specific tables the data into Excel (or Access or other file management application),

- a. The tables are not normalized. Normalized data tables are organized to eliminate or minimize redundancy in stored data. This makes for more dependable data maintenance, but it makes individual tables hard to read. For example, the information about transit agency and car class appears in many data tables in the Train Door database, making it easier to see what the table is describing.
- b. Data in the tables is already formatted for display, and
- c. Embedded foreign keys are not used. With normalized data tables, a foreign key links from one table to data in another. In the Train Door database, each table holds all the entries needed to respond to a question.

Thus, the technical user who downloads a table or a set of tables can manipulate or extract the data as it appears in the file as is, when exported to Excel.

A2 Database Design Documents

The documents in this section are the design documents for the Train Door database. Table A2-1 is an overview of the Train Door database structure, which lists the principal design documents and database tables. Following documents are as cited in Table A2-1.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

Table A2-1
Train Door Database Design Documents and Database Tables

Table	Contents			
Tables Index	List of tables in Traindoors database, showing function, table name, reference to survey, and category (transit agency or car class).			
Data Dictionary	I. Listed by survey response fields II. Listed by field and table name in database. III. Listed by database table name			
Transit Agency tables	transitprop tpcontact fleet	Field Questionnaire Field Questionnaire Fleet Survey (repeated under fleet tables below)		
Failure Tables (for the Seven Door Failures Questionnaire)	fcause ftype idcause convertseq failures	Door Questionnaire Door Questionnaire Door Questionnaire Door Questionnaire Door Questionnaire	Read-Only Read-Only Read-Only Read-Only	
Fleet (Transit Agency) Tables	fleet tperoperating tpdelays tpseasons	I Fleet Survey I Fleet Survey III Operations III Operations	Q1 Q3, Q4, Q5 Q1, Q2, Q3, Q5 Q6	
Car Class Tables	ccdelsays ccincidents ccops ccdrops cclinks ccpanels cchangers ccrelays ccmpdoor ccmpcar ccwire ccedges ccelectric ccplates ccbotts ccdoors	III Operations III Operations III Operations II Equipment II Equipment read-only look-up table	Q4, Q5 Q7, Q8 Q9 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12	Read-Only
Appendix Populated Read-Only tables.	Fcause Ftype idcause convertseq ccdoors			

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*T A B L E S
Train Door Database

7/14/2005

Table*	Tablename	Questionnaire, Survey	Ref	remarks
Main Transit Property	transitprop	Field questionnaire	tp	
Transit Property Contact	tpcontact	Field questionnaire	tp	
Fleet	fleet		tp,fl	describes car classes in a tp's fleet
Failure Cause	fcause	Door Questionnaire	tp	storage for failure cause descriptions
Failure Type Description	ftype	Door Questionnaire	tp	storage for failure type descriptions
Fail type freq by failure cause	idfcause	Door Questionnaire	tp	Read-Only, for reference
Conversion Sequence Lookup	convertseq	Door Questionnaire	tp	Read-Only, internal use
Failures	failures	Door Questionnaire	tp	storage for failure results
Identify Failure Cause	idcause			auxillary table for lookup
Conversion Sequence Lookup	convertseq			auxillary table for lookup
Delays by Car Class	ccdelays	III Operations, Q 4, 5	cc	
Incidents by Car Class	ccincidents	III Operations, Q 7, 8.	cc	
Events Affecting Operations	ccops	III Operations, Q 9	cc	
Delays- Transit Property	tpdelays	III Operations, Q 1, 2, 3	tp	data - delay reporting structure
Environmental	seasons	III Operations, Q 6	tp	data - seasonal, environmental factors
Fleet op, repair, config	tpoperating	I, Q 3, 4, 5	tp	op and repair policy, train configuration.
Door operators	ccdrops	II Equipment, Q1	cc	
Door mechanical linkages	cclinks	II Equipment, Q2	cc	
Door panels	ccpanels	II Equipment, Q3	cc	
Door hangers	cchangers	II Equipment, Q4	cc	
Door relays	ccrelays	II Equipment, Q5	cc	
Microprocessors, door level	ccmpdoor	II Equipment, Q6	cc	
Microprocessors, car level	ccmpcar	II Equipment, Q7	cc	
Wire	ccwire	II Equipment, Q8	cc	
Door sensors, sensitive edges	ccedges	II Equipment, Q9	cc	
Electric couplers/train lines	ccelectric	II Equipmen, Q10	cc	
Threshold plates	ccplates	II Equipment, Q11	cc	
Bottom door guides	ccbotts	II Equipment, Q12	cc	
Door component description	ccdoors	II Equipment	cc	read-only, component and corresp table

*in order of appearance on pages

legend

tp = transit property

cc = car class

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY I
by survey response category

9/6/2005

Field	response format	located in table:	Variable	Related to:
Transit property Identifier, "TPn" where "n" is assigned	4 characters	All tables	tpid	
Transit Property				
Transit property name associated with TP identifier.	24 characters	transitprop	tpname	Trans. Prop.
Used to name table for fleet data, Not used	7 characters	transitprop	fleet	Trans. Prop.
name of failure table for transit property*. Not used	9 characters	transitprop	failure	Trans. Prop.
Transit Property Contact Info				
name of contact for transit property	36 characters	tpcontact	contact1	Trans. Prop.
contact person's phone	(xxx) xxx-xxxx	tpcontact	phone1	Trans. Prop.
contact person's mail address	24 characters	tpcontact	email1	Trans. Prop.
date of response to survey	mm/dd/yy	tpcontact	date	Trans. Prop.
alternate contact person	36 characters	tpcontact	contact2	Trans. Prop.
alternate phone contact	(xxx) xxx-xxxx	tpcontact	phone2	Trans. Prop.
alternate email	24 characters	tpcontact	email2	Trans. Prop.
Fleet (car class) Description & Data				
car class in transit property's fleet	12 characters	fleet, all car class	carclass	Trans. Prop.
number of cars in this car class	integer, <127	fleet	numcars,	Trans. Prop.
average no of years in service for this cars in this fleet	mm.nn	fleet	serviceyrs	Trans. Prop.
average annual mileage for this car class	mm.nn	fleet	amiles	Trans. Prop.
average annual hours operated per year for this car class	integer	fleet	ahours	Trans. Prop.
average speed (computed from miles, hours)	integer	fleet	avgmph	Trans. Prop.
Fleet Operations - delays				
not null is tran delay is defined by time value	1 character	tpdelays	timeval	Trans. Prop.
narrative- if delay is not defined by time value	127 characters	tpdelays	otherdef	Trans. Prop.
minutes before before Level 2 triggered.	text	tpdelays	mins2	Trans. Prop.
minutes before before Level 3 triggered.	text	tpdelays	mins3	Trans. Prop.
narrative - basis for calculating MFBF or MTBF	<256 characters	tpdelays	mtbfcalc	Trans. Prop.
fleet wide system lost train intervals as pct of all LTI.	mm.nn%	tpdelays	pctlostti	Trans. Prop.
fleet wide door system delays as a pct of all train delays	mm.nn%	tpdelays	pctdstd	Trans. Prop.
Fleet Operations - seasonal variations				
door incident rates in Spring	H, M, or L	Seasons	spring	Trans. Prop.
door incident rates in Summer	H, M, or L	Seasons	summer	Trans. Prop.
door incident rates in Fall	H, M, or L	Seasons	fall	Trans. Prop.
door incident rates in Winter	H, M, or L	Seasons	winter	Trans. Prop.
causal environ. factor in door incident rates - high temp	x or blank	Seasons	hightemp	Trans. Prop.
causal environ. factor in door incident rates - low temp	x or blank	Seasons	lowtemp	Trans. Prop.
causal environ. factor in door incident rates - dampness	x or blank	Seasons	damp	Trans. Prop.
causal environ. factor in door incident rates - snow	x or blank	Seasons	snow	Trans. Prop.
causal environ. factor in door incident rates - wind	x or blank	Seasons	wind	Trans. Prop.
causal environ. factor in door incident rates - sun	x or blank	Seasons	sun	Trans. Prop.
comments on enviromental factors, if any.	text	Seasons	otherss	Trans. Prop.
Operating Policy when door incident occurs				
pct of door incidents leading to cut out door stay in service	mm.nn%	tpoperating	pctcutout	Trans. Prop.
pct of door incidents leading to cancel train	mm.nn%	tpoperating	pctcancel	Trans. Prop.
pct of door incidents leading to other scenarios	mm.nn%	tpoperating	pctother	Trans. Prop.
description other scenario	<256 characters	tpoperating	otherdesc	Trans. Prop.
pct of door failures repaired in yard	mm.nn%	tpoperating	pctyard	Trans. Prop.
pct of door failures repaired in shop	mm.nn%	tpoperating	pctshop	Trans. Prop.

TraindoorDB.xls" DataDict1

Page 1 of 5

Appendixes to TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis

DATA DICTIONARY I
by survey response category

9/6/2005

Field	response format	located in table:	Variable	Related to:
pct of door failures repaired in service	mm.nn%	toperating	pctservice	Trans. Prop.
train configuration is single cars	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	toperating	trainconfigsc	Trans. Prop.
train configuration is married pairs	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	toperating	trainconfigmp	Trans. Prop.
train configuration is multicar consists	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	toperating	trainconfigmc	Trans. Prop.
min no of cars in consist	integer	toperating	minconsist	Trans. Prop.
max no of cars in consist	integer	toperating	maxconsist	Trans. Prop.
Operations, For EACH car class,				
Train Delays attributed to door incidents				
percent system delays attributed to door system incidents	mm.nn%	ccdclays	pctsysdelay	car class
percent door system lost train intervals	mm.nn%	ccdclays	pctsyslti	car class
pct opening enroute	mm.nn%	ccincidents	pctopen	car class
pct phantom operation	mm.nn%	ccincidents	pctphan	car class
pct sticking,jamming	mm.nn%	ccincidents	pctstick	car class
pct loss of train operator indication	mm.nn%	ccincidents	pctlossto	car class
pct no motion	mm.nn%	ccincidents	pctnomo	car class
ccincidothor	text	ccincidents	other	car class
pct of door incidents resulting in injuries to passsengers	mm.nn%	ccincidents	pctinj	car class
pct environment	mm.nn%	ccops	pctenviron	car class
pct passenger use	mm.nn%	ccops	pctpassguse	car class
pct employee ops	mm.nn%	ccops	pctemployops	car class
pct design	mm.nn%	ccops	pctdesign	car class
pct maintenance	mm.nn%	ccops	pctmaint	car class
ccopsotther	text	ccops	ccopsotther	car class
Equipment, for EACH car class				
Door operators				
door operator location -"overhead", "wall/waist mounted"	20 character	ccdrops	location	car class
door operator power - "pneumatic", "electrical", or descript	20 character	ccdrops	powercheck	car class
door operator drive - screw", "lever", "piston", "belt", "cable"	20 character	ccdrops	drive	car class
door operator manufacturer	48 characters	ccdrops	drmaker	car class
door manufacturer's model	48 characters	ccdrops	drmodel	car class
door operator original equipment ? ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccdrops	droem	car class
door operator retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccdrops	drretrofit	car class
door operator retrofit, implemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccdrops	drorig	car class
door operator config changed, why	text	ccdrops	doorwhy	car class
door operator config changed, specific change	text	ccdrops	doorwhat	car class
mechanical linkages				
door mechanical linkages type	64 characters	cclinks	linktype	car class
door mechanical linkages -manufacturer	48 characters	cclinks	linkmaker	car class
door mechanical linkages - manufacturer's model	48 characters	cclinks	linkmodel	car class
door linkage - original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cclinks	linkoem	car class
door linkage -retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cclinks	linkretrofit	car class
door linkage mplemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cclinks	linkorig	car class
door linkage if config changed, why	text	cclinks	linkwhy	car class
door linkage if config changed, specific change(s).	text	cclinks	linkwhat	car class
door panels				
door panel type "plug", "bi-fold", "sliding" or descript if Other.	64 characters	ccpanels	pantype	car class
door panel manufacturer	48 characters	ccpanels	panmaker	car class

TraindoorDB.xls" DataDict1

Page 2 of 5

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY I
by survey response category

9/6/2005

Field	response format	located in table:	Variable	Related to:
door panel model	48 characters	ccpanels	panmodel	car class
door panel original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccpanels	panoem	car class
door panel retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccpanels	panretrofit	car class
door panel retrofit implemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccpanels	panorig	car class
door panel if config changed, why	text	ccpanels	panwhy	car class
door panel if onfig changed, what specifically was changed	text	ccpanels	panwhat	car class
door hangers				
door hangers - type "ball track" or descript if Other	32 characters	cchangers	hangtype	car class
door hanger manufacturer	48 characters	cchangers	hangmaker	car class
door hangers model	48 characters	cchangers	hangmodel	car class
door hanger original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cchangers	hangoem	car class
door hanger etrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cchangers	hangretrofit	car class
door hanger changes mplemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cchangers	hangorig	car class
door hanger if config changed, why	text	cchangers	hangwhy	car class
door hanger if config changed, specific change(s)	text	cchangers	hangwhat	car class
door relays				
door relay manufacturer	48 characters	ccrelays	relaymaker	car class
door relay model	48 characters	ccrelays	relaymodel	car class
door relay original equipment ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccrelays	relayoem	car class
door relay retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccrelays	relayretrofit	car class
door relay retrofit implemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccrelays	relayorig	car class
door relay retrofit why?	text	ccrelays	relaywhy	car class
door relay retrofit what changed?	text	ccrelays	relaywhat	car class
microprocessor use at door level				
door level use of microprocessor?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpdoor	doormp	car class
door level microprocessor - original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpdoor	doormpoem	car class
door level microprocessor retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpdoor	doormpreplac	car class
door level microprocessor If retrofit, Implemented in original config?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpdoor	doormporig	car class
microprocessor use at car level				
car level micropocessor used ? (y or n)	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpcar	carmp	car class
car level microprocessor manufacturer	48 characters	ccmpcar	carmanufact	car class
car level microprocessor model	48 characters	ccmpcar	carmpmodel	car class
car level microprocessors original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpcar	carmpoem	car class
car level microprocessor retrofit or replacement?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpcar	carmpretro	car class
car level microprocessor - if changed, in original config?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccmpcar	carmporig	car class
car level micropocessor if retrofit or config changed, why	text	ccmpcar	carmpwhy	car class
car level micropocessor if changed, specific change(s)	text	ccmpcar	carmpwhat	car class
wiring				
Wiring - wire gauge used	12 characters	ccwire	ccwiregauge	car class
wire insulation material	36 characters	ccwire	ccwireinsulat	car class
wiring Original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccwire	ccwireoem	car class
wiring retrofit or replacement equipment? ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccwire	ccwireretro	car class
wiring if retrofit, Implemented in original config?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccwire	ccwireinoem	car class
wiring if retrofit or config changed changed, why	text	ccwire	ccwirewhy	car class
wiring if retrofit, and config changed, specifically change(s).	text	ccwire	ccwirewhat	car class
door sensors				
door sensor type - "sensitive edges", "mechanical leaf"	text	ccedges	edgetype	car class

TraindoorDB.xls" DataDict1

Page 3 of 5

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY I
by survey response category

9/6/2005

Field	response format	located in table:	Variable	Related to:
door sensor manufacturer	text	cedges	edgemaker	car class
door sensor model model	text	cedges	edgemodel	car class
door sensor original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cedges	edgeoem	car class
door sensor retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cedges	edgeretrofit	car class
door sensor changes implemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	cedges	edgeorig	car class
door sensor- if config changed, why	text	cedges	edgewhy	car class
door sensor - if config changed, what changes	text	cedges	edgewhat	car class
electric couplers				
electric coupler type. "through coupler", hardwired",	32 characters	ccelectric	ectype	car class
electric coupler manufacturer	48 characters	ccelectric	ecmaker	car class
electric coupler model	48 characters	ccelectric	ecmodel	car class
electric coupler original equipment ("yes" or "no")	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccelectric	ecoem	car class
electric coupler retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccelectric	ecetrofit	car class
electric coupler implemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccelectric	ecorig	car class
electric coupler - if config changed, why	text	ccelectric	ecwhy	car class
electric coupler -if config changed, specific change(s)	text	ccelectric	ecwhat	car class
electric coupler voltage drop issues? ("yes" or "no")	"yes" or "no"	ccelectric	ecvolt	car class
electric coupler -descript voltage drop issues	127 characters	ccelectric	ecvoltmore	car class
threshold plates				
threshold plates -type.	64 characters	ccplates	platetype	car class
threshold plates - manufacturer	48 characters	ccplates	platemaker	car class
threshold plates - material composition	48 characters	ccplates	platemat	car class
threshold plates -original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccplates	plateoem	car class
threshold plates - retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccplates	plateretrofit	car class
threshold plates - implemented in original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccplates	plateorig	car class
threshold plates -if config changed, why	text	ccplates	plawhy	car class
threshold plates - if config changed, specific change(s)	text	ccplates	plawhat	car class
bottom door panel guides				
bottom door panels- type. "blade", "roller" or describe other	64 characters	ccbotts	bottype	car class
bottom door panel manufacturer	48 characters	ccbotts	bottmaker	car class
bottom door panel material composition	64 characters	ccbotts	bottmat	car class
bottom door panel original equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccbotts	bottoem	car class
bottom door panel -retrofit or replacement equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccbotts	botetrofit	car class
bottom door panel -if retrofit, onoriginal equipment?	"Yes", "No" or "N/A"	ccbotts	bottorig	car class
bottom door panel if config changed, why	text	ccbotts	botwhy	car class
bottom door panel if config changed, what changes	text	ccbotts	botwhat	car class
door components - read-only table				
door component description "relays," "wiring", etc.	32 characters	ccdoors	drcomponent	car class
door component tablename where description appears	11 characters	ccdoors	drtable	car class
door failure related				
freq for failure cause FC1, trainline	integer, 1-5	failures	fc1r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC2, door push buttons	integer, 1-5	failures	fc2r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC3, interlock failure	integer, 1-5	failures	fc3r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC4, car network	integer, 1-5	failures	fc4r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC5, door operator motor	integer, 1-5	failures	fc5r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC6, local door controller	integer, 1-5	failures	fc6r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC7, unlock mechanism	integer, 1-5	failures	fc7r	Trans. Prop.

TraindoorDB.xls" DataDict1

Page 4 of 5

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY I
by survey response category

9/6/2005

Field	response format	located in table:	Variable	Related to:
freq for failure cause FC8, electrical coupler	integer, 1-5	failures	fc8r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC9, threshold or bottom door guide	integer, 1-5	failures	fc9r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC10, door panel	integer, 1-5	failures	fc10r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC11, short or open circuit	integer, 1-5	failures	fc11r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC12, switch/sensor	integer, 1-5	failures	fc12r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC13, train takes power w/o correct door status		failures	fc13r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC14, failures to take power w/o correct door status		failures	fc14r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC15 Interlock/unautor door interlock (operator error)		failures	fc15r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC16, other interlock fails (no motion)	integer, 1-5	failures	fc16r	Trans. Prop.
req for failure cause FC17, local door panel	integer, 1-5	failures	fc17r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC18, opening control (button.switch) location issue		failures	fc18r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC19, wayside failure	integer, 1-5	failures	fc19r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC20, door edge	integer, 1-5	failures	fc20r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC21, design problem requiring mod	integer, 1-5	failures	fc21r	Trans. Prop.
freq for failure cause FC22, "other"	integer, 1-5	failures	fc22r	Trans. Prop.
descript of failure under "other"	127 characters	failures	fc23r	Trans. Prop.
descript of action taken or ops change	127 characters	failures	fc24r	Trans. Prop.
Failure Cause FCnn referred to in fc24r change	varchar(4)	failures	fc24id	Trans. Prop.
door failure - read-only tables (constants & identifiers)				
failure Id eg "FC1"	4 characters	Fcause	fcid	Trans. Prop.
failure cause (description)	to 56 characters	Fcause	failcause	Trans. Prop.
failure type ID	integer	Ftype	ftid	Trans. Prop.
failure type description	to 65 characters	Ftype	failuretype	Trans. Prop.
frequency rank for a failure type	integer	idfcause	freq	Trans. Prop.
failure Id eg "FC1" related to failure type frequency	integer	idfcause	fcid	Trans. Prop.

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY II
by field name

9/6/2005

Field	in table:	Definition	Related to:
ahours	fleet	average annual hours operated per year for this car class	Trans. Prop.
amiles	fleet	average annual mileage for this car class	Trans. Prop.
avgmph	fleet	average speed (computed from miles, hours)	Trans. Prop.
bottmaker	ccbotts	bottom door panel manufacturer	car class
bottmat	ccbotts	bottom door panel material composition	car class
bottoem	ccbotts	bottom door panel original equipment?	car class
bottorig	ccbotts	bottom door panel -if retrofit, onoriginal equipment?	car class
bottretrofit	ccbotts	bottom door panel -retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class
botttype	ccbotts	bottom door panels- type. "blade", "roller" or describe other	car class
bottwhat	ccbotts	bottom door panel if config changed, what changes	car class
bottwhy	ccbotts	bottom door panel if config changed, why	car class
carclass	fleet, all car class	car class in transit property's fleet	Trans. Prop.
carmanufact	ccmpcar	car level microprocessor manufacturer	car class
carmp	ccmpcar	car level micropocessor used ? (y or n)	car class
carmpmodel	ccmpcar	car level microprocessor model	car class
carmpoem	ccmpcar	car level microprocessors original equipment?	car class
carmporig	ccmpcar	car level microprocessor - if changed, in original config?	car class
carmpretro	ccmpcar	car level microprocessor retrofit or replacement?	car class
carmpwhat	ccmpcar	car level mircoprocessor if changed, specific change(s)	car class
carmpwhy	ccmpcar	car level micropocessor if retrofit or config changed, why	car class
ccincidother	ccincidents	other	car class
ccopsother	ccops	other factors	car class
ccwiregauge	ccwire	Wiring - wire gauge used	car class
ccwireinoem	ccwire	wiring if retrofit, Implemented in original config?	car class
ccwireinsulat	ccwire	wire insulation material	car class
ccwireoem	ccwire	wiring Original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	car class
ccwireretro	ccwire	wiring retrofit or replacement equipment? ("yes" or "no")	car class
ccwirewhat	ccwire	wiring if retrofit, and config changed, specifically change(s).	car class
ccwirewhy	ccwire	wiring if retrofit or config changed changed, why	car class
contact1	tpcontact	name of contact for transit property	Trans. Prop.
contact2	tpcontact	alternate contact person	Trans. Prop.
damp	Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - dampness	Trans. Prop.
date	tpcontact	date of response to survey	Trans. Prop.
doormp	ccmpdoor	door level use of microprocessor?	car class
doormpoem	ccmpdoor	door level microprocessor - original equipment?	car class
doormporig	ccmpdoor	door level microprocessor If retrofit, done in original config?	car class
doormpreplace	ccmpdoor	door level microprocessor retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class
doorwhat	ccdrops	door operator config changed, specific change	car class
doorwhy	ccdrops	door operator config changed, why	car class
drcomponent	ccddoors	door component description "relays," "wiring", etc.	car class
drcomponent	ccddoors	door component description "relays," "wiring", etc.	car class
drive	ccdrops	door operator drive - screw, "lever", "piston", "belt", "cable"	car class
drmaker	ccdrops	door operator manufacturer	car class
drmodel	ccdrops	door manufacturer's model	car class
droem	ccdrops	door operator original equipment ? ("yes" or "no")	car class
drorig	ccdrops	door operator retrofit, implemented in original equipment?	car class
drretrofit	ccdrops	door operator retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class

TraindoorDB.xls: datadictF

Page 1 of 5

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY II
by field name

9/6/2005

Field	in table:	Definition	Related to:
drtable	ccdoors	door component tablename where description appears	car class
drtable	ccdoors	door component tablename where description appears	car class
ecmaker	ccelectric	electric coupler manufacturer	car class
ecmodel	ccelectric	electric coupler model	car class
ecoem	ccelectric	electric coupler original equipment ("yes" or "no")	car class
ecorig	ccelectric	electric coupler implemented in original equipment?	car class
ecretrofit	ccelectric	electric coupler retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class
ectype	ccelectric	electric coupler type. "through coupler", "hardwired",	car class
ecvolt	ccelectric	electric coupler voltage drop issues? ("yes" or "no")	car class
ecvoltmore	ccelectric	electric coupler -descript voltage drop issues	car class
ecwhat	ccelectric	electric coupler -i f config changed, specific change(s)	car class
ecwhy	ccelectric	electric coupler - if config changed, why	car class
edgemaker	ccedges	door sensor manufacturer	car class
edgemodel	ccedges	door sensor model model	car class
edgeoem	ccedges	door sensor original equipment?	car class
edgeorig	ccedges	door sensor changesimplemented in original equipment?	car class
edgeretrofit	ccedges	door sensor retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	car class
edgetype	ccedges	door sensor type - "sensitive edges", "mechanical leaf"	car class
edgewhat	ccedges	door sensor - if config changed, what changes	car class
edgewhy	ccedges	door sensor- if config changed, why	car class
email1	tpcontact	contact person's mail address	Trans. Prop.
email2	tpcontact	alternate email	Trans. Prop.
failure	transitprop	name of failure table for transit property*. Not used	Trans. Prop.
fall	Seasons	door incident rates in Fall	Trans. Prop.
fc10r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC10, door panel	Trans. Prop.
fc11r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC11, short or open circuit	Trans. Prop.
fc12r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC12, switch/sensor	Trans. Prop.
fc13r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC13, train takes power w/o correct door status	Trans. Prop.
fc14r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC14, failures to take power w/o correct door status	Trans. Prop.
fc15r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC15 Interlock/unautor door interlock (operator error)	Trans. Prop.
fc16r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC16, other interlock failures (no motion)	Trans. Prop.
fc17r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC17, local door panel	Trans. Prop.
fc18r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC18, opening control (button.switch) location issue	Trans. Prop.
fc19r	failures	freq tank for failure cause FC19, wayside failure	Trans. Prop.
fc1r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC1, trainline	Trans. Prop.
fc20r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC20, door edge	Trans. Prop.
fc21r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC21, design problem requiring modification	Trans. Prop.
fc22r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC22, "other"	Trans. Prop.
fc23r	failures	descript of failure under "other"	Trans. Prop.
fc24id	failures	// Failure Cause FCnn referred to in fc24r, for which action taken or ops changed	
fc24r	failures	descript of action taken or ops change	Trans. Prop.
fc2r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC2, door push buttons	Trans. Prop.
fc3r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC3, interlock failure	Trans. Prop.
fc4r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC4, car network	Trans. Prop.
fc5r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC5, door operator motor	Trans. Prop.
fc6r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC6, local door controller	Trans. Prop.
fc7r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC7, unlock mechanism	Trans. Prop.

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY II
by field name

9/6/2005

Field	in table:	Definition	Related to:
fc8r	failures	freq frank for failure cause FC8, electrical coupler	Trans. Prop.
fc9r	failures	freq rank for failure cause FC9, threshold or bottom door guide	Trans. Prop.
fleet	transitprop	Used to name table for fleet data, Not used	Trans. Prop.
hangmaker	cchangers	door hanger manufacturer	car class
hangmodel	cchangers	door hangers model	car class
hangoem	cchangers	door hanger original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	car class
hangorig	cchangers	door hanger changes mplemented in original equipment?	car class
hangretrofit	cchangers	door hanger etrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	car class
hangtype	cchangers	door hangers - type "ball track" or descript if Other	car class
hangwhat	cchangers	door hanger if config changed, specific change(s)	car class
hangwhy	cchangers	door hanger if config changed, why	car class
hightemp	Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - high temp	Trans. Prop.
linkmaker	cclinks	door mechanical linkages -manufacturer	car class
linkmodel	cclinks	door mechanical linkages - manufacturer's model	car class
linkoem	cclinks	door linkage - original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	car class
linkorig	cclinks	door linkage mplemented in original equipment?	car class
linkretrofit	cclinks	door linkage -retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class
linktype	cclinks	door mechanical linkages type	car class
linkwhat	cclinks	door linkage if config changed, specific change(s).	car class
linkwhy	cclinks	door linkage if config changed, why	car class
location	ccdrops	door operator location -"overhead", "wall/waist mounted"	car class
lowtemp	Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - low temp	Trans. Prop.
maxconsist	tpoperating	max no of cars in consist	Trans. Prop.
minconsist	tpoperating	min no of cars in consist	Trans. Prop.
mins2	tpdelays	mins before before Level 2 triggered.	Trans. Prop.
mins3	tpdelays	mins before before Level 3 triggered.	Trans. Prop.
mtbfcalc	tpdelays	narrative - basis for calculating MFBBF or MTBF	Trans. Prop.
numcars,	fleet	number of cars in this car class	Trans. Prop.
otherdef	tpdelays	narrative- if delay is not defined by time value	Trans. Prop.
otherdesc	tpoperating	description other scenario	Trans. Prop.
panmaker	ccpanels	door panel manufacturer	car class
panmodel	ccpanels	door panel model	car class
panoem	ccpanels	door panel original equipment?	car class
panorig	ccpanels	door panel retrofit implemented in original equipment?	car class
panretrofit	ccpanels	door panel retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class
pantype	ccpanels	door panel type "plug", "bi-fold", "sliding" or descript if Other.	car class
panwhat	ccpanels	door panel if onfig changed, what specifically was changed	car class
panwhy	ccpanels	door panel if config changed, why	car class
pctcancel	tpoperating	pct of door incidents leading to cancel train	Trans. Prop.
pctcutout	tpoperating	pct of door incidents leading to cut out door stay in service	Trans. Prop.
pctdesign	ccops	pct design	car class
pctemployops	ccops	pct employee ops	car class
pctenviron	ccops	pct environment	car class
pctinj	ccincidents	pct of door incidents resulting in injuries to passsengers	car class
pctlossto	ccincidents	pct loss of train operator indication	car class
pctlostti	tpdelays	fleet wide system lost train intervals as pct of all LTI.	Trans. Prop.
pctmaint	ccops	pct maintenance	car class

TraindoorDB.xls: datadictF

Page 3 of 5

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY II
by field name

9/6/2005

Field	in table:	Definition	Related to:
pctnomo	ccincidents	pct no motion	car class
pctopen	ccincidents	pct opening enroute	car class
pctother	tpoperating	pct of door incidents leading to other scenarios	Trans. Prop.
pctpassguse	ccops	pct passenger use	car class
pctphan	ccincidents	pct phantom operation	car class
pctservice	tpoperating	pct of door failures repaired in service	Trans. Prop.
pctshop	tpoperating	pct of door failures repaired in shop	Trans. Prop.
pctstick	ccincidents	pct sticking,jammimg	car class
pctsysdelay	ccdelays	percent system delays attributed to door system incidents	car class
pctsyslti	ccdelays	percent door system lost traini intervals	car class
pctyard	tpoperating	pct of door failures repaired in yard	Trans. Prop.
phone1	tpcontact	contact person's phone	Trans. Prop.
phone2	tpcontact	alternate phone contact	Trans. Prop.
platemaker	ccplates	threshold plates - manufacturer	car class
platemat	ccplates	threshold plates - material composition	car class
plateoem	ccplates	threshold plates -original equipment?	car class
plateorig	ccplates	threshold plates - implemented in original equipment?	car class
plateretrofit	ccplates	threshold plates - retrofit or replacement equipment?	car class
platetype	ccplates	threshold plates -type.	car class
platewhat	ccplates	threshold plates - if config changed, specific change(s)	car class
platewhy	ccplates	threshold plates -if config changed, why	car class
powercheck	ccdrops	door operator power - "pneumatic", "electrical", or descript	car class
relaymaker	ccrelays	door relay manufacturer	car class
relaymodel	ccrelays	door relay model	car class
relayoem	ccrelays	door relay original equipment ("yes" or "no")	car class
relayorig	ccrelays	door hanger retrofit implemented in original equipment?	car class
relayretrofit	ccrelays	door relay retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	car class
relaywhy	ccrelays	door relay retrofit why?	car class
relaywhat	ccrelays	door relay retrofit what changed?	car class
serviceyrs	fleet	average no of years in service for this cars in this fleet	Trans. Prop.
snow	Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - snow	Trans. Prop.
spring	Seasons	door incident rates in Spring	Trans. Prop.
ssother	Seasons	comments on enviromental factors, if any.	Trans. Prop.
summer	Seasons	door incident rates in Summer	Trans. Prop.
sun	Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - sun	Trans. Prop.
timeval	tpdelays	not null is tran delay is defined by time value	Trans. Prop.
tpid	All tables	Transit property Identifier, "TPn" where "n" is assigned	
tpname	transitprop	Transit property name associated with TP identifier.	Trans. Prop.
trainconfigmc	tpoperating	train configuration is multicar consists	Trans. Prop.
trainconfigmp	tpoperating	train configuration is married pairs	Trans. Prop.
trainconfigsc	tpoperating	train configuration is single cars	Trans. Prop.
wind	Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - wind	Trans. Prop.
winter	Seasons	door incident rates in Winter	Trans. Prop.

TraindoorDB.xls: datadictF

Page 4 of 5

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY II
by field name

9/6/2005

Field	in table:	Definition	Related to:
Constants from read-only tables:			
failcause	Fcause	failure cause (description), identified by fcid (failure cause id)	Trans. Prop.
fcid	Fcause, idfcause	failure cause id	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC1	trainline (wiring/pin)	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC2	door push buttons	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC3	interlock failure	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC4	car network	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC5	door operator motor	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC6	local door controller	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC7	unlock mechanism	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC8	electrical coupler	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC9	threshold or bottom door guide	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC10	door panel	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC11	short or open circuit	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC12	switch/sensor	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC13	train takes power w/o correct door status (door open)	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC14	fails to take power w correct door status	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC15	interlock/unauthor door interlock bypass (operator error)	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC16	other interlock failures (no motion)	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC17	local door panel	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC18	opening control (button/switch) location issue	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC19	wayside failure	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC20	door edge	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC21	design problem requiring modification	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC22	Other	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC23	descript - other failure	Trans. Prop.
	fcid = FC24	Action taken	Trans. Prop.
ftid	Ftype	failure type description, identified by ftid (fail type ID)	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT1	Door failed to Open or Close when commanded from Operator Console	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT2	Door Status Interlock Failure	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT3	Incorrect Door Opening - Door Open in Motion	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT4	Incorrecr Door Operaton (Operation/Wayside Error)	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT5	Obstruction Detection Failures / Drags	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT6	Freewheeling Door Panel	Trans. Prop.
	ftid = FT7	Doors Fail to completely Close and Lock and indicate Closed and Lock	Trans. Prop.
fcid	idfcause	failure Id eg "FC1" related to failure type frequency	Trans. Prop.
freq	idfcause	frequency rank for a failure type	Trans. Prop.
ftid	Ftype	failure type ID	Trans. Prop.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY III
by table name

9/6/2005

table name	Field	Variable	response format	Related to:
transitprop	Transit property name associated with TP identifier.	tpname	24 characters	Trans. Prop.
transitprop	Used to name table for fleet data, Not used	fleet	7 characters	Trans. Prop.
transitprop	name of failure table for transit property*. Not used	failure	9 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	name of contact for transit property	contact1	36 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	contact person's phone	phone1	(xxx) xxx-xxxx	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	contact person's mail address	email1	24 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	date of response to survey	date	mm/dd/yy	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	alternate contact person	contact2	36 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	alternate phone contact	phone2	(xxx) xxx-xxxx	Trans. Prop.
tpcontact	alternate email	email2	24 characters	Trans. Prop.
fleet	number of cars in this car class	numcars	integer, <127	Trans. Prop.
fleet	average no of years in service for this cars in this fleet	serviceyrs	mm.nn	Trans. Prop.
fleet	average annual mileage for this car class	amiles	mm.nn	Trans. Prop.
fleet	average annual hours operated per year for this car class	ahours	integer	Trans. Prop.
fleet	average speed (computed from miles, hours)	avgmph	integer	Trans. Prop.
tpdelays	not null is tran delay is defined by time value	timeval	1 character	Trans. Prop.
tpdelays	narrative- if delay is not defined by time value	otherdef	127 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpdelays	minutes before before Level 2 triggered.	mins2	text	Trans. Prop.
tpdelays	minutes before before Level 3 triggered.	mins3	text	Trans. Prop.
tpdelays	narrative - basis for calculating MFBF or MTBF	mtbfcalc	<256 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpdelays	fleet wide system lost train intervals as pct of all LTI.	pctlostti	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	door incident rates in Spring	spring	H, M, or L	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	door incident rates in Summer	summer	H, M, or L	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	door incident rates in Fall	fall	H, M, or L	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	door incident rates in Winter	winter	H, M, or L	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - high temp	hightemp	x or blank	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - low temp	lowtemp	x or blank	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - dampness	damp	x or blank	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - snow	snow	x or blank	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - wind	wind	x or blank	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	causal environ. factor in door incident rates - sun	sun	x or blank	Trans. Prop.
Seasons	comments on enviromental factors, if any.	otherss	text	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	pct of door incidents leading to cut out door stay in service	pctcutout	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	pct of door incidents leading to cancel train	pctcancel	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	pct of door incidents leading to other scenarios	pctother	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	description other scenario	otherdesc	127 characters	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	pct of door failures repaired in yard	pctyard	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	pct of door failures repaired in shop	pctshop	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	pct of door failures repaired in service	pctservice	mm.nn%	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	Singles cars, married cars, or multicar consists	trainconfig	"SC", "MP" or "MC"	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	min no of cars in consist	minconsist	integer	Trans. Prop.
tpoperating	max no of cars in consist	maxconsist	integer	Trans. Prop.
ccdelsays	percent system delays attributed to door system incidents	pctsysdelay	mm.nn%	car class
ccdelsays	percent door system lost traini intervals	pctsyslti	mm.nn%	car class

TraindoorDB.xls: DataDict3

Page 1 of 4

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY III
by table name

9/6/2005

table name	Field	Variable	response format	Related to:
ccincidents	pct opening enroute	pctopen	mm.nn%	car class
ccincidents	pct phantom operation	pctphan	mm.nn%	car class
ccincidents	pct sticking/jamming	pctstick	mm.nn%	car class
ccincidents	pct loss of train operator indication	pctlossto	mm.nn%	car class
ccincidents	pct no motion	pctnomo	mm.nn%	car class
ccincidents	ccincidothor	other	text	car class
ccincidents	pct of door incidents resulting in injuries to passengers	pctinj	mm.nn%	car class
ccops	pct environment	pctenviron	mm.nn%	car class
ccops	pct passenger use	pctpassguse	mm.nn%	car class
ccops	pct employee ops	pctemployops	mm.nn%	car class
ccops	pct design	pctdesign	mm.nn%	car class
ccops	pct maintenance	pctmaint	mm.nn%	car class
ccops	ccopsother	ccopsother	text	car class
ccdrops	door operator location - "overhead", "wall/waist mounted"	location	20 character	car class
ccdrops	door operator power - "pneumatic", "electrical", or descript	powercheck	20 character	car class
ccdrops	door operator drive - screw, "lever", "piston", "belt", "cable"	drive	20 character	car class
ccdrops	door operator manufacturer	drmaker	48 characters	car class
ccdrops	door manufacturer's model	drmodel	48 characters	car class
ccdrops	door operator original equipment ? ("yes" or "no")	droem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccdrops	door operator retrofit or replacement equipment?	drretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccdrops	door operator retrofit, implemented in original equipment?	drorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccdrops	door operator config changed, why	doorwhy	text	car class
ccdrops	door operator config changed, specific change	doorwhat	text	car class
cclinks	door mechanical linkages type	linktype	64 characters	car class
cclinks	door mechanical linkages -manufacturer	linkmaker	48 characters	car class
cclinks	door mechanical linkages - manufacturer's model	linkmodel	48 characters	car class
cclinks	door linkage - original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	linkoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
cclinks	door linkage -retrofit or replacement equipment?	linkretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
cclinks	door linkage mplemented in original equipment?	linkorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
cclinks	door linkage if config changed, why	linkwhy	text	car class
cclinks	door linkage if config changed, specific change(s).	linkwhat	text	car class
ccpanels	door panel type "plug", "bi-fold", "sliding" or descript if Other.	pantype	64 characters	car class
ccpanels	door panel manufacturer	panmaker	48 characters	car class
ccpanels	door panel model	panmodel	48 characters	car class
ccpanels	door panel original equipment?	panoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccpanels	door panel retrofit or replacement equipment?	panretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccpanels	door panel retrofit implemented in original equipment?	panorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccpanels	door panel if config changed, why	panwhy	text	car class
ccpanels	door panel if onfig changed, what specifically was changed	panwhat	text	car class
cchangers	door hangers - type "ball track" or descript if Other	hangtype	32 characters	car class
cchangers	door hanger manufacturer	hangmaker	48 characters	car class
cchangers	door hangers model	hangmodel	48 characters	car class
cchangers	door hanger original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	hangoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
cchangers	door hanger etrofit or replcement equipment ("yes" or "no")	hangretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
cchangers	door hanger changes mplemented in original equipment?	hangorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
cchangers	door hanger if config changed, specific change(s)	hangwhat	text	car class

TraindoorDB.xls: DataDict3

Page 2 of 4

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY III
by table name

9/6/2005

table name	Field	Variable	response format	Related to:
ccrelays	door relay manufacturer	relaymaker	48 characters	car class
ccrelays	door relay model	relaymodel	48 characters	car class
ccrelays	door relay original equipment ("yes" or "no")	relayoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccrelays	door relay retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	relayretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccrelays	door hanger retrofit implemented in original equipment?	relayorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccrelays	door relay retrofit why?	relaywhy	text	car class
ccrelays	door relay retrofit what changed?	relaywhat	text	car class
ccmpdoor	door level use of microprocessor?	doormp	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpdoor	door level microprocessor - original equipment?	doormpoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpdoor	door level microprocessor retrofit or replacement equipment?	doormpreplace	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpdoor	door level microprocessor if retrofit, Implemented in original config?	doormporig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor used ? (y or n)	carmp	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor manufacturer	carmanufact	48 characters	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor model	carmpmodel	48 characters	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessors original equipment?	carmpoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor retrofit or replacement?	carmpretro	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor - if changed, in original config?	carmporig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor if retrofit or config changed, why	carmpwhy	text	car class
ccmpcar	car level microprocessor if changed, specific change(s)	carmpwhat	text	car class
ccwire	Wiring - wire gauge used	ccwiregauge	12 characters	car class
ccwire	wire insulation material	ccwireinsulat	48 characters	car class
ccwire	wiring Original equipment? ("yes" or "no")	ccwireoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccwire	wiring retrofit or replacement equipment? ("yes" or "no")	ccwireretro	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccwire	wiring if retrofit, Implemented in original config?	ccwireinoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccwire	wiring if retrofit or config changed changed, why	ccwirewhy	text	car class
ccwire	wiring if retrofit, and config changed, specifically change(s).	ccwirewhat	text	car class
ccedges	door sensor type - "sensitive edges", "mechanical leaf"	edgetype	text	car class
ccedges	door sensor manufacturer	edgemaker	text	car class
ccedges	door sensor model	edgemodel	text	car class
ccedges	door sensor original equipment?	edgeoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccedges	door sensor retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")	edgeretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccedges	door sensor changesimplemented in original equipment?	edgeorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccedges	door sensor- if config changed, why	edgewhy	text	car class
ccedges	door sensor - if config changed, what changes	edgewhat	text	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler type. "through coupler", "hardwired",	ectype	32 characters	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler manufacturer	ecmaker	48 characters	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler model	ecmodel	48 characters	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler original equipment ("yes" or "no")	ecoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler retrofit or replacement equipment?	ecetrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler implemented in original equipment?	ecorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler - if config changed, why	ecwhy	127 characters	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler - if config changed, specific change(s)	ecwhat	127 characters	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler voltage drop issues? ("yes" or "no")	ecvolt	"yes" or "no"	car class
ccelectric	electric coupler -descript voltage drop issues	ecvoltmore	127 characters	car class
ccplates	threshold plates -type.	platetype	64 characters	car class
ccplates	threshold plates - manufacturer	platemaker	48 characters	car class

TraindoorDB.xls: DataDict3

Page 3 of 4

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DATA DICTIONARY III
by table name

9/6/2005

table name	Field	Variable	response format	Related to:
ccplates	threshold plates - material composition	platemat	48 characters	car class
ccplates	threshold plates -original equipment?	plateoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccplates	threshold plates - retrofit or replacement equipment?	plateretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccplates	threshold plates - implemented in original equipment?	plateorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccplates	threshold plates -if config changed, why	platewhy	text	car class
ccplates	threshold plates - if config changed, specific change(s)	platewhat	text	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panels- type. "blade", "roller" or describe other	botttype	64 characters	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel manufacturer	bottmaker	48 characters	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel material composition	bottmat	64 characters	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel original equipment?	bottoem	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel -retrofit or replacement equipment?	bottretrofit	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel -if retrofit, onoriginal equipment?	bottorig	"yes", "no" or "n/a"	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel if config changed, why	bottwhy	text	car class
ccbotts	bottom door panel if config changed, what changes	bottwhat	text	car class
ccdoors	door component description "relays," "wiring", etc.	drcomponent	32 characters	car class
ccdoors	door component tablenamee where description appears	drtable	11 characters	car class
Fcause	failure Id eg "FC1"	fcid	4 characters	Trans. Prop.
Fcause	failure cause (description)	failcause	to 56 characters	Trans. Prop.
Ftype	failure type ID	ftid	integer	Trans. Prop.
Ftype	failure type description	failuretype	to 65 characters	Trans. Prop.
idfcause	frequency rank for a failure type	freq	integer	Trans. Prop.
idfcause	failure Id eg "FC1" related to failure type frequency	fcid	integer	Trans. Prop.

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*I. TRANSIT PROPERTY TABLES
Train Door Database

4/11/2005

Main Transit Property Table**Contains Transit Property ID with TP name and name of Fleet table reference**

```

transitprop(                                     // Transit Property table
-> id      smallint not null primary_key auto_increment, // rec seq #
-> tpid    varchar(4),                               // transit property id (99 TPs max)
-> tpname  varchar(24),                               // transit property name
-> fleet   varchar(7),                               // fleet. Used to name table for fleet data
-> failure varchar(9),                               // name of failure table for transit property*.
-> spare   varchar(16)                               // unused.
-> );

```

Transit PropertyContact Table**Contains contact information for each Transit Property TPn**

```

tpcontact(                                     // Transit Property Contact table
-> id      smallint not null primary_key auto_increment, // rec seq #
-> tpid    varchar(4),                               // transit property id
-> contact1 varchar(36),                             // contact name
-> phone1  varchar(14),                             // contact phone
-> email1  varchar(24),                             // contact email
-> date    date,                                    // date (of response date to questionnaire)
-> contact2 varchar(36),                             // alternate contact name
-> phone2  varchar(14),                             // alternate phone
-> email2  varchar(24),                             // alternate email
-> spare   varchar(16),
-> );

```

Fleet data for Transit Property TPn -describes carclasses in this transit property's fleet.

```

fleet(                                     // Fleet (car class) Data table for a Transit Property
-> id      tinyint not null primary_key auto_increment, // rec seq #
-> tpid    varchar(4),                               // transit property id
-> carclass varchar(12),                             // car class
-> numcars smallint,                                // number of cars in this car class
-> serviceyrs smallint,                             // avg years in service
-> amiles  smallint,                                // average annual mileage
-> ahours  smallint,                                // average annual hours operated per year
-> avgmph  smallint,                                // average speed (computed from miles, hrs)
-> spare   varchar(16),                               // spare - not used
-> );

```

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*II. DOOR FAILURE TABLES
Train Door Database

4/25/2005

Failure Cause Description Table, read only.**Table fcause provides a text description of failure causes, used for output display.****Table is populated with fcid set to "FC"+failure cause number.**

```
fcause(
-> id          tinyint not null primary key auto_increment, // Failure Cause Description
-> fcid        varchar(4), // seq#
-> failcause   varchar(60), // failure cause ID, = FC1, FC2, FC3,...FC22
-> ); // failure cause description
```

Failure Type Table, read only.**Provides text description of failure types for output. Corresponds to questionnaire****Table is populated with failure type ID (ftid) set to "FT"+ questionnaire number: FT1, FT2, FT3...FT7.****Both tables fcause and ftype are read-only and should be initialised as shown in appendix A.**

```
ftype(
-> id          tinyint not null auto_increment, // Failure Type Description
-> ftid        varchar(4), // seq #
-> failuretype varchar(80), // failure type ID (FT1, FT2, FT3.....FT7)
-> ); // failure type description
```

Table to look up failure cause for freq rank in Table FailureN**Identifies a failure cause (fcnr) for a frequency rank in failure table (failures).****Read only table. See Appendix A for initialising values, based on questionnaire questions and answers..**

```
idcause(
-> id          tinyint not null primary key auto_increment, // table to identify failure cause with frequency
-> freq        varchar(5), // id record number
-> fcid        varchar(4), // column name for freq in table idcause
-> spare       char(12), // failure cause ID (FC1, FC2, FC3...FC23)
-> );
```

Conversion Sequence Table, used to convert the failure sequence number in the questionnaire to the failure cause ID.**For data entry, the failure cause (fcseqno) as shown on the questionnaire is input. MySQL would look up the corresponding failure cause id (fcid), using the sequence number (fcseqno) for the failure type (FTn) question.**

Unfortunately the survey was not designed with automated processing in mind, in that the possible causes for a specific type of failure was not coded but instead is identified by a sequential number to indicate its place in the list. This table is used to get the unique identifier assigned to each failure cause which may be common to more than one type of door failure.

A read only table used to convert the sequence number for a failure cause to the ID for a failure cause.**Refer to Appendix A for initialising values in tables.**

```
convertseq(
-> id          tinyint not null primary key auto_increment, // conversion seq to failure ID table.
-> ftid        varchar(4) not null, // id record number
-> fcseqno     tinyint not null, // failure type, value FTn = Question n, n=1, 2, ..7
-> fcid        varchar(6) not null, // sequence on questionnaire
-> ); // failure id, look up in table Fcause
```

TraindoorDB 7-21-05.xls: Failures

Failure tables: (2 of 15)

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*II. DOOR FAILURE TABLES
Train Door Database

4/25/2005

Contains failure frequency or rank for type of failure in each transit property.

```

failures(
-> id      smallint not null primary key auto_increment,          // rec seq #
-> tpid    varchar(4), // transit property ID. Transit Property n is TPn
-> ftid    varchar(4), // failure type ID. Questionnaire n is FTn
-> fc1r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC1 (rank 1-5 or 0 for N/A)      trainline (wiring.pin)
-> fc2r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC2 (rank 1-5)                  door push buttons
-> fc3r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC3 (rank 1-5)                  interlock failure
-> fc4r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC4 (rank 1-5)                  car network
-> fc5r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC5 (rank 1-5)                  door operator motor
-> fc6r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC6 (rank 1-5)                  local door controller
-> fc7r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC7 (rank 1-5)                  unlock mechanism
-> fc8r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC8 (rank 1-5)                  electrical coupler
-> fc9r    smallint, // freq for failure cause FC9 (rank 1-5)                  threshold or bottom door guide
-> fc10r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC10 (rank 1-5)                 door panel
-> fc11r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC11 (rank 1-5)                 short or open circuit
-> fc12r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC12 (rank 1-5)                 switch/sensor
-> fc13r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC13 (rank 1-5)                 train takes power w/o correct door status
-> fc14r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC14 (rank 1-5)                 fails to take power w/o correct door status
-> fc15r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC15 (rank 1-5)                 inerlock/unauthor door interlock (operator error)
-> fc16r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC16 (rank 1-5)                 other interlock failures (no motion)
-> fc17r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC17 (rank 1-5)                 local door panel
-> fc18r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC18 (rank 1-5)                 opening control (button/swirch) location issue
-> fc19r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC19 (rank 1-5)                 wayside failure
-> fc20r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC20 (rank 1-5)                 door edge
-> fc21r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC21 (rank 1-5)                 design problem requiring modification
-> fc22r   smallint, // freq for failure cause FC22 (rank 1-5)                 Other
-> fc23r   tinytext, // descript of failure under "other"                     description - for Other
-> fc24r   tinytext, // descript of action taken or ops change                 Action taken
-> fc24id  varchar(4), // Failure Cause FCnn referred to in fc24r, for which action taken or ops changed
-> );

```

TraindoorDB 7-21-05.xls: Failures

Failure tables: (3 of 15)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*III. FLEET RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

Fleet table identifying the fleet(s) or car classe(s) for each transit property
Data describes car classes in a transit property

```

fleet(                                     // Fleet Data table for a Transit Property
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment, // rec seq #
-> tpid        varchar(4), // transit property id (99 TPs max)
-> carclass    varchar(12), // car class
-> numcars     smallint, // number of cars in this car class
-> serviceyrs  float(5,2), // avg years in service
-> amiles      medint, // average annual mileage
-> ahours      medint, // average annual hours operated per year
-> avgmph      smallint, // average speed (computed from miles, hours)
-> spare       varchar(16),
-> );

```

Delays by fleet in a transit property -Table tpdelays
Contains data from Part III Operations question #1, 2,3 and fleet portion of #5

```

tpdelays( // Delay reporting for transit property or fleet
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment, // id record number
-> tpid        varchar(4), // transit property id (99 TPs max)
-> timeval     varchar(1), // not null is tran delay is defined by time value
-> otherdef    varchar (128), // narrative- if delay is not defined by time value
-> mins2       text, // mins before before Level 2 triggered.
-> mins3       text, // mins before before Level 3 triggered.
-> mtbfcalc    varchar(256), // narrative - basis for calculating MFBF or MTBF
-> pctlostti   float(5,2), // fleet wide system lost train intervals as pct of all LTI.
-> pctdstd     float(5,2), // fleet wide door system delays as a pct of all train delays
-> );

```

Effect of seasonal/environmental variations on door incident rates
Data from Survey Part III Operations, Question #6

```

Seasons( //
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment, // id record number
-> tpid        varchar(4), // transit property id (99 TPs max)
-> spring      varchar(1), // response High, Medium or Low (H,M, or L)
-> summer      varchar(1), // response High, Medium or Low (H,M, or L)
-> fall        varchar(1), // response High, Medium or Low (H,M, or L)
-> winter      varchar(1), // response High, Medium or Low (H,M, or L)
-> hightemp    varchar(1), // causal environ. factor in door incident rates - high temp
-> lowtemp     varchar(1), // causal environ. factor in door incident rates - low temp
-> damp        varchar(1), // causal environ. factor in door incident rates - dampness
-> snow        varchar(1), // causal environ. factor in door incident rates - snow
-> wind        varchar(1), // causal environ. factor in door incident rates - wind
-> sun         varchar(1), // causal environ. factor in door incident rates - sun
-> otherss     mediumtext, // comments on enviromental factors, if any.
-> );

```

TraindoorDB.xls: Fleet

III Fleet tables: (1 of 2)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*III. FLEET RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

Operating Policy, Repair Policy and Train Configuration**Data from Survey Part I Fleet, Question 3, 4, 5**

```

toperating
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment, // id record number
-> tpid       varchar(4),
-> pctcutout  float(5,2), // pct cut out door stay in service
-> pctcancel  float(5,2), // pct cancel train
-> pctother   float(5,2), // pct other scenario
-> otherdesc  text, // description other scenario
-> pctyard    float(5,2), // pct repair in yard
-> pctshop    float(5,2), // pct repair in yard
-> pctservice float(5,2), // pct repair in service
-> trainconfigsc varchar(1), // Singles cars, "y" or "n"
-> trainconfigm varchar(1), // married pairs, "y" or "n"
-> trainconfign varchar(1), // multicar .consists, "y" or "n"
-> minconsist integer, // min no of cars in consist
-> maxconsist integer, // max no of cars in consist
-> );

```

TraindoorDB.xls: Fleet

III Fleet tables: (2 of 2)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*IV. CAR CLASS-RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

To display survey questions on car class related data for Part III Operations, you will need to display a row for each car class, for the responding transit property. (SELECT carclass FROM fleetn)

For reference, the Fleet Table description is shown below (fleet1, for transit Property1):
table fleetn(id, carclass, numcars, serviceyrs, amiles, ahours, avgmph, spare)

Delays by car class table -Table ccdelays**Data from Part III Operations question #4 & #5**

```
ccdelays(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> pctsysdelay float(5,2),          // percent system delays
-> pctsyslti   float(5,2),          // percent door system lost traini intervals
-> );
```

Types of Incidents by Car Class - Table ccincidents**Data from Part III Operations question #7 & #8**

```
ccincidents(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> pctopen     float(5,2),          //pct opening enroute
-> pctphan     float(5,2),          // pct phantom operation
-> pctstick    float(5,2),          //pct sticking,jamming
-> pctlossto   float(5,2),          //pct loss of train operator indication
-> pctnomo     float(5,2),          //pct no motion
-> ccincidoth  medium text,          // other
-> pctinj      float(5,2),          // pct of door incidents resulting in injuries to passsengers
-> );
```

Events affecting operations and reliability -Table ccops**Data from Part III Operations question #9**

```
ccops(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> pctenviron  float(5,2),          //pct environment
-> pctpassguse float(5,2),          // pct passenger use
-> pctemployops float(5,2),          //pct employee ops
-> pctdesign    float(5,2),          //pct design
-> pctmaint    float(5,2),          //pct maintenance
-> cccopsother medium text,          // other factors
-> );
```

IV Car Class tables: (1 of 6)

TraindoorDB.xls: CarClass

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*IV. CAR CLASS-RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

Door operators, for a car class**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #2**

```

ccdrops(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> location    varchar(20),          // "overhead", "wall/waist mounted" or "under seat floor"
-> powercheck  varchar(20),          // "pneumatic", "electrical", or descript to 20 characters
-> drive       varchar(20),          // "screw", "lever", "piston", "belt", "cable" or "other"
-> drmaker     varchar(48),          // door manufacturer
-> drmodel     varchar(32),          // model
-> droem       varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> drretrofit  varchar(3),          // B retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> drorig      varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> doorwhy     medium text,          // B2 if config changed, why
-> doorwhat    medium text,          // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

```

Door mechanical linkages, for a car class**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #2**

```

cclinks(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> linktype    varchar(64),          // mechanical linkages - type
-> linkmaker   varchar(48),          // mechanical linkages -manufacturer
-> linkmodel   varchar(32),          // mechanical linkages - model
-> linkoem     varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> linkretrofit varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> linkorig    varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> linkwhy     medium text,          // B2 if config changed, why
-> linkwhat    medium text,          // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

```

Door panels, for a car class**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #3**

```

ccpanels(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> pantype     varchar(64),          // panel type "plug", "bi-fold", "sliding" or descript if Other.
-> panmaker    varchar(48),          // door panel manufacturer
-> panmodel    varchar(32),          // door panel model
-> panoem      varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> panretrofit varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> panorig     varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> panwhy      medium text,          // B2 if config changed, why
-> panwhat     medium text,          // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

```

TraindoorDB.xls: CarClass

IV Car Class tables: (2 of 6)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*IV. CAR CLASS-RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

Door hangers, for a car class**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #4**

```

cchangers(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> hangtype    varchar(32),          // door hangers - type "ball track" or descript if Other
-> hangmaker   varchar(48),          // door hanger manufacturer
-> hangmodel   varchar(32),          // door hangers model
-> hangoem     varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> hangretrofit varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> hangorig    varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> hangwhy     medium text,          // B2 if config changed, why
-> hangwhat    medium text,          // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

```

Door relays, for a car class**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #5**

```

ccrelays(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> relaymaker   varchar(48),          // relay manufacturer
-> relaymodel   varchar(32),          // door relay model
-> relayoem     varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> relayretrofit varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> relayorig    varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> relaywhy     medium text,          // B2 why changed
-> relaywhat    medium text,          // B3 what was changed
-> );

```

Microprocessor/Electronics Equip (door level)**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #6**

```

ccmpdoor(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> doormp      varchar(3),          // micropocessor used at door level? ("yes" or "no")
-> doormpoem   varchar(3),          // A. Original equipment? ("yes" or "no")
-> doormpreplace varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> doormporig  varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> );

```

Microprocessor/Electronics Equip (car level)**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #7**

```

ccmpcar(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> carmp       varchar(1),          // micropocessor used at car level? Yes or No (y or n)
-> carmanufact varchar(48),          // Manufacturer
-> carmpmodel  varchar(48),          // Model
-> carmpoem    varchar(3),          // A. Original equipment? ("yes" or "no")
-> carmpretro  varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> carmporig   varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> carmpwhy    medium text,          // B2. If retrofit, and config changed changed, why
-> carmpwhat   medium text,          // If retrofit, and config changed changed, what was specifically changed
-> );

```

IV Car Class tables: (3 of 6)

TraindoorDB.xls: CarClass

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*IV. CAR CLASS-RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

```

Wiring
ccwire(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> ccwiregauge varchar(12),          // wire gauge
-> ccwireinsulat varchar(36),          // wire insulation material
-> ccwireoem   varchar(3),          // A. Original equipment? ("yes" or "no")
-> ccwireretro varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment? ("yes" or "no")
-> ccwirein OEM varchar(3),          // B1. If retrofit, Implemented in original config? ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> ccwirewhy   medium text,          // B2. If retrofit, and config changed, why
-> ccwirewhat  medium text,          // If retrofit, and config changed, what was specifically changed
-> );

Door sensors, sensitive edges
ccedges(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> edgetype    varchar(32),          // type. "sensitive edges", "mechanical leaf", or descript if Other
-> edgemaker   varchar(48),          // manufacturer
-> edgemodel   varchar(32),          // model
-> edgeoem     varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> edgeretrofit varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> edgeorig    varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> edgewhy     varchar(128),          // B2 if config changed, why
-> edgewhat    varchar(128),          // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

Electric couplers/ train lines
ccelectric(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid        varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass    varchar(12),          // carclass
-> ectype      varchar(32),          // type. "through coupler", "hardwired", or descript if Other
-> ecmaker     varchar(48),          // manufacturer
-> ecmodel     varchar(32),          // model
-> ecoem       varchar(3),          // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> ecretrofit  varchar(3),          // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> ecorig      varchar(3),          // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> ecwhy       varchar(128),          // B2 if config changed, why
-> ecwhat      varchar(128),          // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> ecvolt      varchar(3),          // C voltage drop issues ("yes" or "no")
-> ecvoltmore  varchar(128),          // C1 vdescript voltage drop issues
-> );

```

IV Car Class tables: (4 of 6)

TraindoorDB.xls: CarClass

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*IV. CAR CLASS-RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

Threshold plates**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #11**

```

ccplates(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid       varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass   varchar(12),         // carclass
-> platetype  varchar(64),        // type.
-> platemaker varchar(48),        // manufacturer
-> platemat   varchar(32),        // material composition
-> plateoem   varchar(3),         // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> plateretrofit varchar(3),      // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> plateorig  varchar(3),        // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> platewhy   text,              // B2 if config changed, why
-> platewhat  text,              // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

```

Bottom door panel guides**Data from Part II Equipment Survey Question #12**

```

ccbotts(
-> id          smallint not null primary_key auto_increment,          // seq no
-> tpid       varchar(4),          // transit prop no
-> carclass   varchar(12),         // carclass
-> botttype   varchar(64),        // type. "blade", "roller" or descript if Other.
-> bottmaker  varchar(48),        // manufacturer
-> bottmat    varchar(64),        // material composition
-> bottoem    varchar(3),         // A original equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> bottretrofit varchar(3),      // B. retrofit or replacement equipment ("yes" or "no")
-> bottorig   varchar(3),        // B1 implemented in original equipment ("yes" or "no" or "N/A")
-> bottwhy    text,              // B2 if config changed, why
-> bottwhat   text,              // B2 if config changed, what specifically was changed
-> );

```

TraindoorDB.xls: CarClass

IV Car Class tables: (5 of 6)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*IV. CAR CLASS-RELATED TABLES
Train Door Database

7/25/2005

.....more next page

Equipment type description table

Read-only table, lists door component equipment and corresponding table
See Appendix for populated table. Also shown below for convenience

```

ccdoors(
  -> id          tinyint not null primary_key,
  -> drcomponent varchar(32),           // component description
  -> drtable     varchar(11),           // table for component data
  -> );

```

Contents of populated Read-Only table ccdoors, shown for convenience.

Door equipment component description, populated.

used to get component description for drop-down list in query
tablename - ccdoors

id	drcomponent	drtable
1	Door Operators	ccdrops
2	Mechanical Linkages	cclinks
3	Door Panels	ccpanels
4	Door Hangers	cchangers
5	Relays	ccrelays
6	Microprocessor (door level)	ccmpdoor
7	Microprocessor (car level)	ccmpcar
8	Wiring	ccwire
9	Sensitive Edges / Door Sensors	ccedges
10	Electric Couplers / Train Lines	ccelectric
11	Threshold Plates	ccplates
12	Bottom Door Panel Guides	ccbotts

TraindoorDB.xls: CarClass

IV Car Class tables: (6 of 6)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DESCRIPTION LOOK-UP TABLES
Train Door database

3/24/2005

These values for intialising the look-up tables

When populated these read-only tables are used to look up descriptions for output display.

Failure cause table

tablename = Fcause

id	fcid	failcause
1	FC1	trainline (wiring/pin)
2	FC2	door push buttons
3	FC3	interlock failure
4	FC4	car network
5	FC5	door operator motor
6	FC6	local door controller
7	FC7	unlock mechanism
8	FC8	elecrical coupler
9	FC9	threshold or bottom door guide
10	FC10	door panel
11	FC11	short or open circuit
12	FC12	switch/sensor
13	FC13	train takes power w/o correct door status (door open)
14	FC14	fails to take power w correct door status
15	FC15	interlock/unauthor door interlock bypass (operator error)
16	FC16	other interlock failures (no motion)
17	FC17	local door panel
18	FC18	opening control (button/switch) location issue
19	FC19	wayside failure
20	FC20	door edge
21	FC21	design problem requiring modification
22	FC22	Other
22	FC23	descript - other failure
23	FC24	Action taken

Failure Type Table

tablename = Ftype

id	ftid	failuretype
1	FT1	Door failed to Open or Close when commanded from Operator Console
2	FT2	Door Status Interlock Failure
3	FT3	Incorrect Door Opening - Door Open in Motion
4	FT4	Incorrecr Door Operaton (Operation/Wayside Error)
5	FT5	Obstruction Detection Failures / Drags
6	FT6	Freewheeling Door Panel
7	FT7	Doors Fail to completely Close and Lock and indicate Closed and Lock

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DESCRIPTION LOOK-UP TABLES
Train Door database

3/24/2005

Failure cause/failure frequency id table

Used to identify failure cause for a freq rank

tablename = idfcause

id	freq	fcid	spare
1	fc1r	FC1	
2	fc2r	FC2	
3	fc3r	FC3	
4	fc4r	FC4	
5	fc5r	FC5	
6	fc6r	FC6	
7	fc7r	FC7	
8	fc8r	FC8	
9	fc9r	FC9	
10	fc10r	FC10	
11	fc11r	FC11	
12	fc12r	FC12	
13	fc13r	FC13	
14	fc14r	FC14	
15	fc15r	FC15	
16	fc16r	FC16	
17	fc17r	FC17	
18	fc18r	FC18	
19	fc19r	FC19	
20	fc20r	FC20	
21	fc21r	FC21	
22	fc22r	FC22	
23	fc23r	FC23	
24	fc24r	FC24	

Appendixes to TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis

DESCRIPTION LOOK-UP TABLES
Train Door database

3/24/2005

Table convertseq is used to get the failure cause ID (fcid) from the sequence number (fcseqno) used in the Failure Type Questionnaire (ftid = FT1, FT2, FT3,..FT7)

e.g., on Questionnaire 2, (failure Type 2 or FT2) if the failure cause is the third in the sequence of possible causes (fcseqno), look up the failure cause ID (fcid) : (general SQL below)

SELECT fcid FROM convertseq WHERE ftid = FT2 AND fcseqno = 3 (get actual failure cause id)
INSERT INTO failures max id+1, fyid, fc2r (insert into failures table)
 (fc2r contains the frequency or rank for failure cause in a type 2 failure. Set other fcnr cols to blank)

tablename = convertseq

id	ftid	fcseqno	fcid
1	FT1	1	FC1
2	FT1	2	FC2
3	FT1	3	FC3
4	FT1	4	FC4
5	FT1	5	FC5
6	FT1	6	FC6
7	FT1	7	FC7
8	FT1	8	FC8
9	FT1	9	FC9
10	FT1	10	FC10
11	FT1	11	FC11
12	FT1	12	FC12
13	FT1	13	FC21
14	FT1	14	FC22
15	FT2	1	FC1
16	FT2	2	FC2
17	FT2	3	FC4
18	FT2	4	FC8
19	FT2	5	FC10
20	FT2	6	FC11
21	FT2	7	FC17
22	FT2	8	F14
23	FT2	9	FC15
24	FT2	10	FC16
25	FT2	11	FC17
26	FT2	12	FC21
27	FT2	13	FC22
28	FT3	1	FC1
29	FT3	2	FC2
30	FT3	3	FC3
31	FT3	4	FC4
32	FT3	5	FC5
33	FT3	6	FC6
34	FT3	7	FC7
35	FT3	8	FC8
36	FT3	9	FC9
37	FT3	10	FC10
38	FT3	11	FC11
39	FT3	12	FC12
40	FT3	13	FC21
41	FT3	14	FC22
42	FT4	1	FC18

continued

id	ftid	fcseq	fcid
43	FT4	2	FC19
44	FT4	3	FC3
45	FT4	4	FC4
46	FT5	1	FC1
47	FT5	2	FC2
48	FT5	3	FC3
49	FT5	4	FC4
50	FT5	5	FC5
51	FT5	6	FC6
52	FT5	7	FC7
53	FT5	8	FC8
54	FT5	9	FC9
55	FT5	10	FC10
56	FT5	11	FC11
57	FT5	12	FC12
58	FT5	13	FC20
59	FT5	14	FC21
60	FT5	15	FC22
61	FT6	1	FC1
62	FT6	2	FC3
63	FT6	3	FC4
64	FT6	4	FC5
65	FT6	5	FC6
66	FT6	6	FC7
67	FT6	7	FC10
68	FT6	8	FC11
69	FT6	9	FC21
70	FT6	10	FC22
71	FT7	1	FC1
72	FT7	2	FC2
73	FT7	3	FC3
74	FT7	4	FC4
75	FT7	5	FC5
76	FT7	6	FC6
77	FT7	7	FC7
78	FT7	8	FC8
79	FT7	9	FC9
80	FT7	10	FC10
81	FT7	11	FC11
82	FT7	12	FC12
83	FT7	13	FC21
85	FT7	14	FC22

continued

id	ftid	fcseqno	fcid
85	FT1	15	FC23
86	FT1	16	FC24
87	FT2	14	FC23
88	FT2	15	FC24
89	FT3	15	FC23
90	FT3	16	FC24
91	FT4	5	FC23
92	FT4	6	FC24
93	FT5	16	FC23
94	FT5	17	FC24
95	FT6	11	FC23
96	FT6	12	FC24
97	FT7	15	FC23
98	FT7	16	FC24

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*DESCRIPTION LOOK-UP TABLES
Train Door database

3/24/2005

**Door equipment component
used to get component description for drop-down list in query
tablename - ccdors**

id	drcomponent	drtable
1	Door Operators	ccdrops
2	Mechanical Linkages	cclinks
3	Door Panels	ccpanels
4	Door Hangers	cchangers
5	Relays	ccrelays
6	Sensitive Edges / Door Sensors	ccedges
7	Electric Couplers / Train Lines	ccelectric
8	Threshold Plates	ccplates
9	Bottom Door Panel Guides	ccbotts

Appendix B

Design Information on traindoors.com

The data of traindoors.com is stored in a MySQL database described in Appendix A. The database is hosted on a PC running the Linux operating system. The traindoors.com website is hosted on an Apache HTTP Server. The web application is developed in PHP and Smarty, and styled with XHTML / CSS.

The developers chose to develop traindoors.com to the greatest extent possible using open-source software, for reasons of stability, broad availability, security, community support, and cost-effectiveness.

MySQL is a multithreaded, multi-user, SQL (Structured Query Language) relational database server (RDBMS) with an estimated five million installations. MySQL is open source software available under the GNU General Public License (GPL). (www.mysql.com)

Linux is a computer operating system and kernel. It is free software in open-source development: unlike other major operating systems (such as Windows or Mac OS), all of its underlying source code is available to the public and anyone can freely use, modify, and redistribute it. The term Linux strictly refers to the Linux kernel, but is commonly used to describe entire Unix-like operating systems (also known as GNU/Linux) that are based on the Linux kernel combined with libraries and tools from the GNU project.

Apache HTTP Server is an open source HTTP web server for Unix-like systems including Linux, Microsoft Windows, and other platforms. Apache features DBMS-based authentication databases, highly configurable error messages, and content negotiation. It is supported by several graphical user interfaces (GUIs) which permit easier, more intuitive configuration of the server. The Apache HTTP Server is developed and maintained by an open community of developers under the auspices of the Apache Software Foundation.

PHP is a popular open-source, reflective, programming language used mainly for developing server-side applications and dynamic web content, and more recently, other software. The name is a recursive acronym for "PHP: Hypertext Preprocessor". (www.php.net)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

XHTML, or Extensible Hypertext Markup Language, is a markup language broadly used for creation of web pages and browser-viewable information. XHTML denotes certain text as headings, paragraphs, lists and so on -- and can be used to define the semantics of a document. XHTML, an application of XML, has the same expressive possibilities as HTML but a stricter syntax. Because XHTML documents must be syntactically correct XML documents, they permit automated processing using a standard XML library, unlike HTML. CSS, or Cascading Style Sheets, is a stylesheet language used to describe the presentation of a document written in a markup language. Its most common application is to style web pages written in HTML and XHTML. XHTML, CSS, XML, and HTML are international standards maintained by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). (www.w3c.org)

Smarty is a Template/Presentation Framework which provides the programmer and template designer with tools to automate tasks commonly dealt with at the presentation layer of an application. Smarty facilitates separation of the application code containing the business logic from the presentation templates, so that changes in website appearance cannot interact with the logic performed by the application code. (www.smarty.php.net)

Appendix C

Getting Train Door Information from and into trindoors.com

C1 Trindoors.com Results Presentation

To get data from the Train Door database, a user chooses the See Results tab on the home page, shown in Figure 3.

From the See Results tab, the user has several choices. Each choice is a sub-tab under the ‘See Results’ tab:

- **One At A Time:** This tab displays selected or complete results for a single transit agency. Here a user can examine complete survey results by transit agency, to look at the details of the selected transit agency's replies to questions on door failures, failure causes for each of failure, narrative descriptions and definitions such as door MTBF, failure rate and impact, the presentation of operational data, details of door components and equipment, and fleet descriptions within a transit agency. Figure 3 shows the page for choosing these outputs.
- **Compare Data:** This tab displays selected results for equivalent items across *all* transit agencies. For example, asking for a display of causal environmental factors as indicated by each transit agency will display the responses for the selected variable by all transit agencies in table form. For a question about door equipment, the table will give the responses for each car class at each transit agency.
- **Export Data to Excel:** This tab provides data tables for further off-line analysis and processing by the user. The user can import the data into a relational database and make combinational queries, bring the data into a spreadsheet and plot distribution histograms, or undertake any analysis which uses the base data.

C1.1 Single Transit Agency Results

Figure C1-1, repeated from section 4, shows the screen from which a user can choose to see selected or complete results for a single transit agency.

By clicking on the “View Individual Pages” link, the user is brought to the screen in Figure C1-2. On this screen, the user has the choice of any of the questions asked in the two questionnaires.

Figure C1-3 shows typical results for a selected question for a single car class on a single transit agency. In this case, it is the CTA Class 2200 car Door Operator.

Figure C1-1
Single Transit Agency Results Selection

traindoors.com prototype

Home See Results Take Survey Discussion Forum Resources About

One At A Time Compare Data Export Data to Excel

The traindoors.com database contains extensive results from two in-depth surveys of rail transit agencies on door conditions and problems. The surveys cover:

Types of Trains, Types of Door Equipment, and Operational Experience

The database contains information from the following transit agencies:

Chicago Transit Authority	View Individual Pages	View All Answers on One Page
MTA New York City Transit	View Individual Pages	View All Answers on One Page
Port Authority Trans Hudson	View Individual Pages	View All Answers on One Page
SF BART	View Individual Pages	View All Answers on One Page
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority	View Individual Pages	View All Answers on One Page

Relative Frequency of Common Door Failure Types

The database contains information from the following transit agencies:

Chicago Transit Authority	View Data
MTA New York City Transit	View Data
Port Authority Trans Hudson	View Data
SF BART	View Data
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority	View Data

Figure C1-2
Choosing a Question - Single Transit Agency Results

The screenshot shows the 'traindoors.com prototype' website. At the top right is the APTA logo. Below it is a navigation bar with buttons: 'Home' (with a hand cursor), 'See Results', 'Take Survey', 'Discussion Forum', 'Resources', and 'About'. Below this is another row of buttons: 'One At A Time', 'Compare Data', and 'Export Data to Excel'. The main content area contains the text: 'The traindoors.com database contains extensive results from two in-depth surveys of rail transit agencies on door conditions and problems. The surveys cover:'. This is followed by a section header: 'Types of Trains, Types of Door Equipment, and Operational Experience'. Below this, it states: 'The database contains information from the following transit agencies:'. A table follows with three columns. The first column lists survey details for the Chicago Transit Authority, including 'Fleet Survey' (with links for 'Question 1' and 'Questions 2-5') and 'Equipment Survey' (with a sub-item '2200' and a list of 12 door-related topics). The second column has a link 'View Individual Pages'. The third column has a link 'View All Answers on One Page'.

Chicago Transit Authority	View Individual Pages	View All Answers on One Page
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fleet Survey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Question 1 ◦ Questions 2-5 • Equipment Survey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ 2200 <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Door Operators 2. Mechanical Linkages 3. Door Panels 4. Door Hangers 5. Relays 6. Car Level Electronics 7. Door Level Electronics 8. Wiring 9. Sensitive Edges / Sensors 10. Electric Couplers / Train Lines 11. Threshold Plates 12. Door Panel Guides 		

Figure C1-3
Single Transit Agency Results – CTA 2200 Car Door Operator

The screenshot displays the 'traindoors.com prototype' interface. At the top, there is a navigation menu with buttons for Home, See Results, Take Survey, Discussion Forum, Resources, and About. Below this is a secondary menu with One At A Time, Compare Data, and Export Data to Excel. The main content area is titled 'PART II: EQUIPMENT SURVEY' and shows 'Current Car Class: 2200'. The survey questions are as follows:

- 1. Door Operators
 - Location: Overhead
 - Power: Electric
 - Drive: Lever
 - Manufacturer: LMN
 - Model: 500
 - Is This Original Equipment? Yes
 - Is this Retrofit or Replacement Equipment? No
 - If this is a Retrofit, was it implemented in the original configuration? N/A
 - If this is a Retrofit, and the configuration was changed:
 - Why was it changed?
 - What specifically was changed?

A sidebar on the left contains a 'Back' link, the 'Chicago Transit Authority' name, and a 'Door Questionnaire: Fleet Survey' section with links for Question 1 and Questions 2-5. Below that is an 'Equipment Survey' section for car class 2200, listing 12 items: 1. Door Operators, 2. Mechanical Linkages, 3. Door Panels, 4. Door Hangers, 5. Relays, 6. Car Level Electronics, 7. Door Level Electronics, 8. Wiring, 9. Sensitive Edges / Sensors, 10. Electric Couplers / Train Lines, 11. Threshold Plates, and 12. Door Panel Guides. The number 2400 is displayed at the bottom of the sidebar.

C1.2 Comparison Results for All Transit Agencies

Figure C1-4 shows the screen from which a user can choose to compare selected items across all participating transit agencies.

Figure C1-5 shows typical results for a selected question for all car classes on all transit agencies. In this case, the question concerns sensitive door edges.

Figure C1-4
Transit Agency Comparison Results Selection



View Comparative Data for All Transit Properties

Choose a question to view data for:

Part I: Fleet Survey

1. [General Fleet Information](#)
- 3-5. [Operating / Repair Policy and Train Configuration](#)

Part II: Equipment Survey

1. [Door Operators](#)
2. [Mechanical Linkages](#)
3. [Door Panels](#)
4. [Door Hangers](#)
5. [Relays](#)
6. [Microprocessor / Electronics At Door Level](#)
7. [Microprocessor / Electronics At Car Level](#)
8. [Wiring](#)
9. [Sensitive Edges / Door Sensors](#)
10. [Couplers / Train Lines](#)
11. [Threshold Plates](#)
12. [Bottom Door Panel Guides](#)

Part III: Operations

- 1-3. [Train Delays \(Fleet Wide\)](#)
- 4-5. [Train Delays \(Per Class\)](#)
6. [Effect of seasonal variations on door incident rates](#)
- 7-8. [What types of incidents have been experienced?](#)
9. [Factors Affecting Satisfactory Operations and Reliability](#)

Relative Frequency of Common Door Failure Types

1. [Door failed to Open or Close When Commanded From Operator Console](#)
2. [Door Status Interlock Failure](#)
3. [Incorrect Door Opening - Door Open in Motion](#)
4. [Incorrect Door Operaton \(Operation/Wayside Error\)](#)
5. [Obstruction Detection Failures / Drags](#)
6. [Freewheeling Door Panel](#)
7. [Doors Fail to Completely Close and Lock and Indicate Closed and Lock](#)

Figure C1-5
Transit Agency Comparison Results

traindoors.com prototype



Home See Results Take Survey Discussion Forum Resources About

One At A Time Compare Data Export Data to Excel

[Back](#)

Sensitive Edges / Door Sensors

Click a column heading to sort by that column.
To view more detailed data for a given question, click the "More" links.

Property	Car Class	Type	Manufacturer	Model	Original?	Retrofit?	In Orig. Config?	If retrofit, why was it changed?	If retrofit, what was changed?
A	1	Sensitive Edges	ABC	100		Yes		Original car ddsign did not include door... More	Panel sensors added.
A	2	Proximity Switch	ABC	100	Yes	Yes		Original design did not include door pan... More	Panel sensors added.
A	3	Mechanical Leaf	DEF	200		Yes		Changes to make them interchangeable wit... More	Additional circuits added to wiring ham... More
A	4	Mechanical Leaf	DEF	200					
B	1	Sensitive Edges	DEF	210	Yes	No			
B	2	Sensitive Edges	DEF	210	Yes	No	Yes		
B	3	Sensitive Edges	DEF	220	No	Yes	Yes		
B	4	Sensitive Edges	DEF	220	Yes	No			
C	1	Interlocking grooves with current and po... More							
C	2	Interlocking groove edges with current a... More	DEF						
D	1	Limit Switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to door assembly	Door operator assy
D	2	Limit switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to door operator assembly	Door operator assy
D	3	Limit Switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to door assembly	Door operator assy
D	4	Limit Switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to door assembly	Door assy
D	5	Limit Switch	DEF	230	Yes	No			
D	6	Limit Switch	GHI	300	Yes	No			
E	1	Sensitive Edges							
E	2	Sensitive Edges	GHI	unknown	Yes	No		Reliability problem/ operating issue	Nothing was specifically changed - it wa... More
E	3	Sensitive Edges	GHI	unknown	Yes	No		Reliability problem / operating issue	Nothing was specifically changed - it wa... More
E	4	Sensitive Edges	GHI	unkown	Yes	No		Reliability problem / operating issue	Nothing was specifically changed - it wa... More
E	5	Sensitive Edges	GHI	310	Yes	No	Yes		Nothing was specifically changed - It wa... More

C1.3 Data Tables for Off-line Analysis

Figure C1-6 shows the screen from which a user can choose to export selected information for off-line data analysis and processing, for a selected item across all participating transit agencies.

Figure C1-6
Transit Agency Export Results Selection

traindoors.com prototype

Home See Results Take Survey Discussion Forum Resources About

One At A Time Compare Data Export Data to Excel

Export Data To Excel:

For research purposes our database is also downloaded in excel tables. Each database table is a separate excel file, to understand how these tables work together, please read our [database design documents](#).

Overall Transit Property Information

- [transitprop](#) (lists all transit properties)

Types of Trains, Types of Door Equipment, and Operational Experience

- Part I: Fleet Survey
 - [Question 1: General Car Class Information \(fleet\)](#)
 - [Questions 3-5: Fleet Wide Questions \(toperating\)](#) (Question 2 has been excluded from this survey)
- Part II: Equipment Survey
 1. [Door Operators \(ccdrops\)](#)
 2. [Door Mechanical Linkages \(cclinks\)](#)
 3. [Door Panels \(ccpanels\)](#)
 4. [Door Hangers \(cchangers\)](#)
 5. [Door Relays \(ccrelays\)](#)
 6. [Microprocessors, Door Level \(ccmpdoor\)](#)
 7. [Microprocessors, Car Level \(ccmpcar\)](#)
 8. [Wire \(ccwire\)](#)
 9. [Door sensors, Sensitive Edges \(ccedges\)](#)
 10. [Electric Couplers/Train Lines \(ccelelectric\)](#)
 11. [Threshold Plates \(ccplates\)](#)
 12. [Bottom Door Guides \(ccbotts\)](#)
- Part III: Operations
 - [Questions 1-3: Delay Reporting Structure \(tpdelays\)](#)
 - [Questions 4-5: Delays by Car Class \(ccdelays\)](#)
 - [Question 6: Environmentals \(Seasons\)](#)
 - [Questions 7-8: Incidents by Car Class \(ccincidents\)](#)
 - [Question 9: Events Affecting Operations \(ccops\)](#)

Relative Frequency of Common Door Failure Types

- [failures](#) (storage for failure results)

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

Figure C1-7 shows typical exported results for a selected question for all car classes on all transit agencies. In this case, the question concerns sensitive door edges. Database design documents provided on the website provide the keys and structured information needed for the user to interpret and analyze the data in tabular format.

Figure C1-7
Export Comparison Results – Sensitive Door Edges

id	tpid	carclass	edgetype	edgemaker	edgemodel	edgeoem	edgeretrofi	edgeorig	edgewhy	edgewhat
7	TP1	1	Sensitive Edges	DEF	200	Yes	No	N/A		
47	TP3	1	Interlocking groove e	DEF		N/A	N/A	N/A		
48	TP3	2	Interlocking grooves with current and position s			N/A	N/A	N/A		
8	TP1	2	Sensitive Edges	DEF	200	Yes	No	Yes		
9	TP1	3	Sensitive Edges	DEF	200	No	Yes	Yes		
10	TP1	4	Sensitive Edges	DEF	200	Yes	No	N/A		
13	TP2	1	Limit Switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to r	Door operator assy
23	TP2	2	Limit switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to r	Door operator assy
24	TP2	3	Limit Switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to r	Door operator assy
25	TP2	4	Limit Switch	GHI	300	No	Yes	No	Integral to r	Door assy
28	TP2	5	Limit Switch	ABC	100	Yes	No	N/A		
29	TP2	6	Limit Switch	GHI	300	Yes	No	N/A		
36	TP4	1	Sensitive Edges			N/A	N/A	N/A		
37	TP4	2	Sensitive Edges	GHI	unknown	Yes	No	N/A	Reliability p	Nothing was specifically changed - it
38	TP4	3	Sensitive Edges	GHI	unknown	Yes	No	N/A	Reliability p	Nothing was specifically changed - it
39	TP4	4	Sensitive Edges	GHI	unkown	Yes	No	N/A	Reliability p	Nothing was specifically changed - it
40	TP4	5	Sensitive Edges	GHI	300	Yes	No	Yes		Nothing was specifically changed - It
41	TP5	1	Mechanical Leaf	DEF	200	N/A	Yes	N/A	Changes tc	Additional circuits added to wiring hai
43	TP5	2	Mechanical Leaf	DEF	200	N/A	N/A	N/A		
45	TP5	3	Sensitive Edges	ABC	100	N/A	Yes	N/A	Original ca	Panel sensors added.
46	TP5	4	Proximity Switch	ABC	100	Yes	Yes	N/A	Original de	Panel sensors added.

C2 Entering New Data for a Car Class or Transit Agency

Trandoors.com makes it easy to enter new data for a new car class or a new transit agency. The steps in the process are:

1. Go from the trandoors.com home page to the 'Take Survey' tab. See Figure C2-1.
2. For a new user who does not have an account, the next step is to click the highlighted link. This will bring up a window to send an email to the trandoors.com administrator.
3. The administrator will set up an account and issue a passcode to the user.
4. Once the user has the passcode, the user enters it in the box shown on the right in Figure C2-1.
5. This brings the user to the NYCT example screen shown in Figure C2-2. On this screen, the user can choose which question to answer.

Appendices to TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis

Figure C2-1 Take Survey – First Step

traindoors.com prototype

Home
See Results
Take Survey
Discussion Forum
Resources
About

Traindoors.com welcomes new and returning users to share door data with the rest of the transit industry.

If you are a new user, [click here to set up an account](#). We will set up the database to accept your input and email you a login account.

If you are a registered user, log in here:

Enter your email address:

Figure C2-2 Take Survey – Questionnaire Menu

traindoors.com prototype

Home
See Results
Take Survey
Discussion Forum
Resources
About

[Main](#)
[Log Out](#)
[Edit Contact Info](#)

MTA New York City Transit

Door Questionnaire:
[Fleet Survey](#)

Equipment Survey
[R142A](#)
[R143](#)

[Operations Part 1.](#)

Operations Part 2.
[R142A](#)
[R143](#)

Common Door Failures
[#1](#)
[#2](#)
[#3](#)
[#4](#)
[#5](#)
[#6](#)
[#7](#)

You are MTA New York City Transit and you have currently answered:

- Fleet Survey
 - [Page 1](#)
 - [Page 2](#)
- Equipment Survey
 - R142A
 - [Page 1](#)
 - [Page 2](#)
 - [Page 3](#)
 - [Page 4](#)
 - [Page 5](#)
 - [Page 6](#)
 - [Page 7](#)
 - [Page 8](#)
 - [Page 9](#)
 - [Page 10](#)
 - [Page 11](#)
 - [Page 12](#)
 - R143
 - [Page 1](#)
 - [Page 2](#)
 - [Page 3](#)
 - [Page 4](#)
 - [Page 5](#)
 - [Page 6](#)
 - [Page 7](#)
 - [Page 8](#)
 - [Page 9](#)
 - [Page 10](#)
 - [Page 11](#)
 - [Page 12](#)
- Operations Part 1.
 - [Page 1](#)
 - [Page 2](#)
 - [Page 3](#)
- Operations Part 2.
 - R142A
 - [Page 1](#)
 - [Page 2](#)
 - R143
 - [Page 1](#)
 - [Page 2](#)

Appendixes to TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis

6. When the user clicks on a question, it brings up the complete text of the question, and lets the user answer using data selection and entry tools including radio button choices, drop down lists, and text entry fields. See Figure C2-3, repeated from section 4.

Figure C2-3
Take Survey – Enter Data

traindoors.com prototype

[Home](#)
[See Results](#)
[Take Survey](#)
[Discussion Forum](#)
[Resources](#)
[About](#)

[Main](#)
[Log Out](#)
[Edit Contact Info](#)

MTA New York City Transit

Door Questionnaire:
[Fleet Survey](#)

Equipment Survey
R142A

1. Door Operators
2. [Mechanical Linkages](#)
3. [Door Panels](#)
4. [Door Hangers](#)
5. [Relays](#)
6. [Car Level Electronics](#)
7. [Door Level Electronics](#)
8. [Wiring](#)
9. [Sensitive Edges / Sensors](#)
10. [Electric Couplers / Train Lines](#)
11. [Threshold Plates](#)
12. [Door Panel Guides](#)

PART II: EQUIPMENT SURVEY

Complete PART II for each car class. Current Car Class: **R142A**

1. Door Operators

Location:

Power:
If Other, please specify:

Drive:

Manufacturer:
Model:

Is This Original Equipment?

Is this Retrofit or Replacement Equipment?

If this is a Retrofit, was it implemented in the original configuration?

C3 Other traindoors.com Features

C3.1 Train Door Papers and Other Resources

Traindoors.com contains a Papers section in the Resources section. This section actively collects and posts all available and known public papers and presentations on Train Doors. Figure C3-1 shows a screen shot of the Papers section, which includes papers from APTA's library.

The Train Door team is actively soliciting papers and presentations to increase the value of this "knowledge base." Traindoors.com will only post papers and presentations that have been provided with appropriate permission.

Appendices to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

The website will also provide links to other papers and presentations at other websites. However, experience has shown that websites often change their links and the effort to maintain and find revised links difficult. Accordingly, we prefer to actually post the original document, whenever possible.

**Figure C3-1
Train Door Resources**

Papers

The following are papers collected from APTA and other sources that the [Train Door Team](#) believes could be valuable in improving train door reliability

- [Accessibility Approaches for Low Floor Light Rail Vehicles \(.pdf\)](#)
- [Aids for Rail Car Side Door Observation \(.pdf\)](#)
- [An Innovative Passenger Door Operating and Control System \(.pdf\)](#)
- [Predictive Diagnostics for Motor Driven Automated Doors \(.pdf\)](#)
- [Wayside Communications and Door Operation Surveillance \(.pdf\)](#)
- [Rail Car Train Door Project 2005 APTA \(.pdf\)](#)
- [Agenda 8-3-05 \(.doc\)](#)

Questionnaires

The following questionnaire was used to collect information from our five initial participating transit properties. [OpenOffice](#) (free) or Microsoft Word may be used to view these files.

- [Questionnaire \(.doc\)](#)

We are also gathering data using the "Seven Common Door Failures" Questionnaire.

- [Common Door Failure Questionnaire \(.pdf\) Rev 2](#)
- [Common Door Failure Questionnaire \(.doc\) Rev 2](#)

Database Design

Please [go here to view the database design documents.](#)

C3.2 Discussion Forum

Traindoors.com hosts a Discussion Forum on train door systems. Discussion forums, sometimes known as ‘chat rooms,’ have grown explosively on the web, because they provide a platform for self-selecting community interaction. Traindoors.com seeks to provide this community function for members of the rail transit community: door maintainers, door designers, car builders, and researchers. The traindoors.com Discussion Forum can serve as a common ground where anyone can ask questions and share tips and experience.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

Traindoors.com uses an advanced, flexible, and sophisticated discussion forum software tool called phpBB. This open-source discussion forum software tool was customized to establish the appropriate topics for the train door community. It is easy for any user to create a new topic. By clicking on a specific topic of interest, the user is taken to the discussion thread. There the user can follow the detailed comments on this topic, and add another comment as appropriate.

The Train Door team discovered that information about train door design problems quickly flows from transit operator to railcar manufacturer, but the information does not flow so well to the train door equipment or component manufacturer. The intent of the Discussion Forum is to enable a better flow and sharing of information among all interested parties.

Appendix D

RSETF Train Door Project History

D1 Mission and Goals

In 2002, the Chair of the APTA Rolling Stock Equipment Technical Forum (RSETF) made an assessment of the Forum's direction. Throughout the year, he worked with a select team to establish a new direction, and a sense of dynamism for the Forum. Ultimately, it was decided to make the Forum a more proactive group that would actively investigate field issues. To that end, the Mission and Goals Statements were reviewed, and a New Direction Strategy was developed.

D1.1 Mission Statement

- To assist the rail transportation industry in resolving issues related to the design, procurement, maintenance and operation of passenger rail transportation vehicles;
- To enhance communication, the sharing of experiences, knowledge and ideas among rail transit professionals in the industry through conferences and publication.

D1.2 Goals

- To identify and document a number of rail vehicle related issues of interest to the vast majority of rail transit agencies throughout the U.S. and Canada.
- To rank the rail vehicle issues identified above in terms of importance and urgency.
- To facilitate resolution of the above issues by establishing workshops and forums, through the auspices of APTA, which purpose will be to heighten awareness and solicit resolution of said issues.
- To serve as a technical and informational resource on rail equipment, addressing issues related to safety, ADA compliance, rail car equipment design standards and advanced technologies.
- To foster cooperation with sister committees, agencies and organizations in an effort to realize the mission and goals expressed herein.

D1.3 New Direction Strategy

- Highlight problems that industry professionals consider most critical to their operations and the industry in general.
- Focus upon one (or two) particular problems.
- Develop a project that will assist managers in the resolution of the problem/s.

D2 The Birth of an Idea - A Rail Car Door System Analysis Project

The RSETF team canvassed managers from several rapid transit, commuter, and light rail transit agencies to discuss problems that affected overall rail car performance in the field. Car body structures, doors, propulsion and dynamic braking, air braking, trucks and suspension, wheels and axles, HVAC, couplers and draft gears, communications, lighting, and train control were all considered. The failure of door systems and their related components, sub-components, and hardware were cited as having the largest negative effect upon rail car reliability. This was particularly the case concerning heavy rail rapid transit systems. Utilizing this information, the Chair invited Forum members to embark upon a special project that would study rail car door system problems. A meeting was held in December, 2002 at NYCT headquarters. During this meeting the foundations for a Rail Car Door System Analysis Project were established.

Five specific goals were chosen for the project:

1. Develop greater insights into rail car door performance. Highlight critical areas where rail car door designs, maintenance practices, operations procedures, and normal wear-and-tear affect door systems and their related components and hardware.
2. Improve operation and maintenance practices for rail cars. Enhance communications and information exchanges among transit operating professionals within the industry. Communications will enable transit operators experiencing operational, maintenance, and safety problems on similar components and subcomponents to learn about the solutions developed by other transit agencies throughout the industry.
3. Enhance safety. Pinpoint critical areas where rail car door designs, and maintenance and operational practices have had a detrimental effect upon passenger safety. Communicate findings to door equipment manufacturers, car builders, and transit agencies so that improved designs, and changes in maintenance and operational practices can ultimately result in door safety enhancement.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

4. Provide financial benefits for the industry.
 - Develop maintenance operations that are more cost effective. This may enable transit agencies to realize savings over time.
 - Reduce passenger injuries and liability claims that are rail car door-related.
5. Improved future rail car designs. Develop active communications among rail car builders, door manufacturers, consultants, and transit operators. Ultimately, through information exchange and a lessons learned approach, vehicle design improvements can be achieved.

During the 2003 Rail Transit Conference in San Jose, California, the RSETF Chair was invited by the Rail Transit Committee to give a presentation at the CEO's meeting to discuss the Forum's activities. During the presentation, the Chair discussed the idea of a Rail Car Door System Analysis Project. The project was well received by the executives, and the Chair was encouraged to move forward with the project.

The door project was then discussed at the Forum's semi-annual meeting. Several important steps were taken to move forward. A Train Door team was created. Several meeting attendees immediately joined, while others decided to recruit qualified individuals at their respective organizations. It was decided that team members would communicate through bi-weekly conference telephone calls. The Secretary of the Forum offered conference call services through the courtesy of Booz, Allen and Hamilton, and a telephone communications link was established for the calls.

The team membership grew to include 30 participants. These included rail car manufacturers-Alstom, and Kawasaki Rail Car, door equipment manufacturer Faiveley Rail Corporation; engineering consulting firms Booz, Allen Hamilton, LTK Engineering, Interfleet Technologies, Transportation Systems Design, and Turner Engineering Company; and transit agencies Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), Chicago Transit Authority (CTA), Delaware Area Port Authority (DRPA), New York City Transit (NYCT), Port Authority Trans Hudson (PATH), and the Washington Metropolitan Area Transportation Authority (WMATA).

D3 Project Focus - Five Major Heavy Rail Rapid Transit Systems

From June through December, 2003 a great deal was accomplished by the team through bi-weekly conference calls. During this period of time a Process Flow Chart was developed and a Door Field Questionnaire was created. Because of the large scope of work involved in such a project, the team decided to limit the initial field study to five heavy rail rapid transit organizations. The intent was to eventually expand the project to include other heavy rail systems, and eventually include commuter rail and light rail equipment in the study.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

Several variables were considered during the selection process of the five heavy rail systems:

- Door system population.** Include heavy rail systems that have large diversified fleets. This will provide the largest selection of door system components and sub-component types, manufacturers, models for the study.
- Location.** Choose operators in different regions of the country.
- Weather.** Consider the variable of weather related problems.

Considering these variables, the team decided to conduct the initial field study at BART, CTA, NYCT, PATH and WMATA.

D4 The Development of a Research Methodology

Train Door team members realized that this was going to be a large undertaking, and that many variables would require consideration. Five project goals had been established. How could they be achieved? What were the steps that needed to be taken to get this project moving? Utilizing a Process Flow Chart, the team developed a sense of direction, and listed several steps that would be taken toward the accomplishment of their goals.

- STEP 1 - Identify Door Population.
 - a. Identify rail car equipment suppliers.
 - b. Identify end users.
 - c. Identify car fleets.
- STEP 2 - Define Segment of Study.
 - a. Door system components, sub-components, and related hardware.
 - b. Technology- older relay and cam controls, new microprocessor and electronics equipment.
 - c. Specifics to investigate- designs, operations, maintenance.
- STEP 3- Define Strategy for Field Investigation.
 - a. Create a valid questionnaire that can be utilized for field research. This document must address all study segments.
 - b. Interview focus segment.
 - c. Document findings.
- STEP 4- Manage Collected Information.
 - a. Create database.
 - b. Analyse data.
- STEP 5- Formulate Problem Solving Actions.
 - a. Investigate problems.
 - b. Corrective actions taken, lessons learned.
- STEP 6- Communicate Findings to Transit Community.
 - a. Prepare technical paper.
 - b. Hold a technical session at APTA Conference.
 - c. Develop a website to facilitate continuing communications.

D5 Door Field Questionnaire Creation

Early during the project development stage, team members realized that this would require an effort to actively accumulate vital statistics and other information from the field. A research tool had to be developed to effectively and accurately gather critical information about rail car fleets, door system component equipment, operations, and maintenance. This information would then have to be processed and analyzed.

The team decided early on during the inception of the Rail Car Door System Analysis Project that their efforts would produce a “product” for the industry, the enhancement of door system operations. The Door Field Questionnaire was the foundation upon which the project would be built. Therefore it had to be a valid instrument that captured all of the necessary information. Most importantly, the information had to be depicted in such a manner that it could be processed, analyzed, and ultimately utilized to focus upon critical areas that affected door operations at the five participating transit agencies. While developing a preliminary draft of the questionnaire, team members pondered several important questions-

1. What type of information concerning door systems was to be collected?
2. What would be done with the information once it was collected?
3. How would the information be processed so that it could be effectively analyzed?
4. How would this questionnaire be utilized as a tool toward the accomplishment of the five project goals?

D5.1 Part I: Fleet Survey

Researchers decided that fleet statistics concerning the various car classes in the study would be an important component for the creation of a database. Particularly important would be a door equipment survey that provided technical details for each of the car classes. Once collected and placed into a database, this information would be available for quick reference, and would be the foundation of a relational database. This information could be utilized as the team studied door problems on particular classes and their associated door equipment, and would assist in future trends analyses.

Initially, researchers wanted to gather information concerning the population of particular car classes that were being operated at the five participating transit agencies. The questionnaire included entries for the different models of car classes in service at a particular transit agency, number of cars per class, average years of service for each class, and annual miles of operation. In addition, information regarding train consist configuration was requested.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

Because the combined car class populations in the study totaled 32 models, researchers decided that a pictorial view of each class would provide a good visual perspective of door opening and door operator locations. Therefore, door schematics were provided depicting plan and elevation views of cars with two, three, and four door openings per side, and under-seat, wall pocket, and overhead operator locations.

D5.2 Part II: Equipment Survey

Critical to the project was a detailed survey of the technical specifications for door system components, sub-components and associated hardware on each of the car classes. The team dedicated a great deal of effort into the creation of this part of the questionnaire. Several door equipment manufacturers and maintenance personnel at each of the transit agencies were asked to assist with the equipment surveys on their particular car classes. Details concerning the locations, types, manufacturers, models and present designations for equipment, (i.e.- original equipment, retrofit), were included for each of the following- door operators and master door controllers, relays, cams, micro switches, wiring; mechanical linkages; door panels, sensitive edges, hangers, threshold plates, bottom door panel guides; microprocessor / electronics equipment (at door level, and at car level); and inter car communications, train line wiring, electric couplers and electric portions.

D5.3 Part III: Operations

Team members worked to develop a part of the questionnaire that would provide an in-depth view of train operations within each of the participating transit agencies. Although the transit agencies in this study were classified as heavy rail rapid transit systems, the researchers realized that each system operated according to its own book of rules and standard operating procedures. In addition, preliminary canvassing revealed that several factors concerning train operations and door related failures were defined and calculated differently.

Particularly important to the study was the understanding of how the different transit agencies defined and calculated train delays. Car performance reliability was surveyed. Each operator's basis for calculating the Mean Distance Between Failures (MDBF) or Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF) was also canvassed.

Of critical importance to this study were the operational failures that affected door system performance on each car class within a particular transit agency. Therefore, several questions addressed operational failures on a car class basis. Researchers wanted to collect this information in such a way that it could be utilized to create a database for future analysis. The types of operational failures experienced, factors affecting satisfactory operations and reliability, and incidents leading to passenger injuries, were rated on a percentile basis for each, totaling 100%.

D5.4 Part IV: Maintenance

During the development stage of this project, Train Door team members realized that maintenance issues were key factors in their research efforts. Whenever a train experienced door troubles during road operations, it inevitably moved to a maintenance facility for inspection and repair.

Field research was the primary focus of the Rail Car Door Systems Analysis Project. Train Door team members were selected to visit maintenance facilities at each transit agency to question key door experts concerning their particular equipment problems. It was their intent to literally go “into the trenches”, so to speak, and interview line personnel who experienced problems first hand, and issues relating to their particular car classes. To effectively perform this task, it was imperative that they were equipped with a questionnaire that addressed every aspect of door system maintenance on each car class operating within the five participating transit agencies.

This part consisted of questions that addressed the in-car system components- master door controllers, door operators, mechanical linkages, door panels, door panel sensitive edges, door hangers, micro switches, relays, microprocessor, electronics equipment, wiring, threshold plates and door guides, and coupler electric portions and pins. Researchers wanted to collect this information in such a way that it could be utilized to create a database for future analysis. Therefore, several of the questions were rated on a percentile basis for each, totaling 100%.

To ensure that the following questions were accurately completed, team members worked with respondents at each of the transit agencies to gather pertinent information about each of the car classes.

1. What Is The Repair Reporting Method?
2. What Are The Preventive Maintenance (PM) Intervals?
3. Average Time Spent On Door Equipment During Each PM?
4. In What Percentage Do In-Car System Components Contribute To Door Incidents?
5. What Are The Most Common Types Of Failure Associated With Each Door Component?
6. In What Percentage Do Train Line Components Contribute To Door Incidents?
7. Are There Car Body / Door Component Interfacing Problems Attributing To Incidents? If So, What Are The Details And Which Of The Following Have Been Affected?

D6 Field Interview Process

In November, 2003, a final version the Door Field Questionnaire was approved by all members of the team. The next stage of the door project would be the collection of the information from the five participating transit agencies. During the months of December, 2003 through January, 2004, team members discussed how they could most effectively utilize their new document as an information collection tool for the project. A strategy was developed for their field research. This included the creation of two important groups of people- contact persons within the agencies to be surveyed, and volunteers that would conduct the field interviews. With the assistance of the Forum's APTA Staff Advisor, a letter was sent to the CEOs of each of the target transit agencies. The letter referred to the RSTEF Rail Car Door System Analysis Project, elicited their support, and requested the names of key operations, door maintenance and engineering personnel who could be contacted to assist in the completion of the questionnaires. The Train Door team then assembled five volunteer groups that were assigned to conduct field interviews at the five participating transit agencies.

During the period of February through April, 2004, the five groups visited contact personnel at BART, CTA, NYCT, PATH, and WMATA, interviewed operations, maintenance, and engineering professionals, and assisted in the completion of the questionnaires. The comprehensive nature of the Door Field Questionnaire required repeated field visits to several of the transit agencies by the groups to ensure that the information was complete and accurate.

D7 Information Analysis – The Creation of a Database

In April 2004, the questionnaires were completed and returned to the Train Door team members for review. Several conference calls were held to discuss the information. At this stage in the project, the members realized that the voluminous quantity of door system information gathered would have to be placed into a manageable format. This would have to be accomplished before the information could be effectively analyzed. Only through a thorough analysis of the information could the team understand specific door problem causes, pinpoint critical areas where operational mishaps and equipment failures adversely affect door system performance, and learn how some of the problems were corrected. Analysis efforts would assist the team as they formulated problem-solving actions and communicated their findings and proposed solutions to industry colleagues.

The development of a database was performed by an Information Systems (IS) expert in the team. Utilizing the format of the Door Field Questionnaire, the gathered information was placed into cells in the database. The IS expert also created a website for the project, traindoors.com, and began development of the web basis for the database. By May 2004, the data was collected. Train Door team members familiarized themselves with the data, and utilized it to review the database.

D8 Communication to the Industry – The “Hold That Door” Technical Session

The Train Door team had accomplished a great deal of work during the course of the year. They had reached an important step in the Rail Car Door System Analysis Project. It was now time to communicate with professionals within the Transportation Industry, updating them on the progress that had been achieved up to this point, and the proposed future direction of the project.

The RSETF Chair worked with the APTA Staff Advisor to schedule a special session at the 2004 Rail Transit Conference.

In April 2004, the team realized that it would be impossible to complete an accurate analysis of the information that had been collected in time for the June “Hold That Door” session. It was decided that the door session would introduce industry colleagues to the project, elicit their support, and ask for their input concerning the future direction of the project. The agenda of the session included an overview of the project - its purpose, the five project goals, selection of five transit agencies, and the development of a research methodology.

The Train Door team selected among its members, four panelists for the session. Each represented a transit operator, car manufacturer, door system manufacturer, and industry consultant. Each panelist gave a brief presentation, discussing his / her particular perspective of the project. A question and answer period ensued, and the audience was invited to express their opinions and comments.

The “Hold That Door” session accomplished three major goals:

1. **Garnered interest within the industry:** There was a lively question and answer period after presentations were completed. Executives from several heavy rail transit, light rail, and commuter rail transit agencies expressed an interest in becoming involved in the Rail Car Door System Analysis Project. Representatives of *Railway Age Magazine* attended the RSETF meeting during the Rail Transit Conference, and prepared an article about the project in the July, 2004 edition of the magazine. This prompted an interest in the project by professionals from other rail transit agencies who had not attended the Rail Transit Conference.
2. **Assisted the team in their future focus for the project:** Through the question and answer period, session participants provided the Train Door team with their ideas about particular door related problems, and particular areas where a future focus was required.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

3. Initiated the involvement of the Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP). Following the session, the RSETP and the Train Door team developed the work plan for TCRP Project J-6, Task 62, "Rail Car Door System Analysis," which enabled the project to develop a database for the Train Door information.

D9 The New Initiative – The Transportation Cooperative Research Program

In 2005, the Rail Car Door System Analysis Project has entered a new phase. During the initial planning phase of this project, members of the RSETF decided that their work on this project would ultimately produce a "product" for the industry, the enhancement of door system operations. The Train Door team has made a concerted effort to follow the strategy that was first established during the developmental stage of the project. Over the course of the past two years, they have moved closer to the accomplishment of the five goals that were established. Today, we realize that this entails a long-term effort requiring the participation of engineering and maintenance personnel from rail transit agencies, door equipment manufacturers, car builders, and consultants. Communication among all of these professionals is key to the successful outcome of this project.

The foundations for this success have been established. The RSETF moved forward with a new initiative.

1. Research was initiated for TCRP Project J-6, Task 62, "Rail Car Door System Analysis." The objective of this project was to build on the work of the APTA Rolling Stock Equipment Technical Forum Rail Car Team by (1) developing and implementing a user-friendly, searchable Relational Database Management System (RDBMS) for rail car doors and (2) analyzing available data to identify initial findings regarding rail car door performance and improvement opportunities. This project will improve the availability and exchange of information on rail car door systems of rapid transit vehicles.

2. The Train Door team moved forward with the problems analysis stage of the project. Learning from the information that was gathered utilizing the Door Field Questionnaire, the team pinpointed seven specific critical areas that adversely affect door performance.

1. Doors on Train / Car / Fail to Open or Close When Commanded from Operator Locations.
2. Door Status Interlock Failures.
3. Incorrect Door Opening – Door Open In Motion.
4. Incorrect Door Operation - Crew Error.
5. Obstruction Detection Failures / Drags.
6. Freewheeling Door Panel.
7. Doors Fail to Completely Close and Lock and Indicate a Closed and Locked Position.

Appendixes to *TCRP Research Results Digest 74: Train Door Systems Analysis*

The Questionnaire on Common Door Failures was used to gather very specific information that addresses the causes each of these problems, and any solutions that each of the transit agencies has initiated to solve these problems. These include maintenance procedure changes, operational changes, and / or equipment design changes.

3. The Train Door team acquired a strong partner as they moved forward with the project. Transportation System Design, Inc. (TSD) was retained through TCRP Project J-6, Task 62, "Rail Car Door System Analysis" to establish an effective communication link with the industry. TSD developed a website for the project, and is now expanding the site so it transit professionals can use it to communicate about door issues quickly and broadly across the industry:

- Automated access to the Traindoors database results.
- Tools to permit investigative access to results in the Traindoors database.
- Tools to let other transit agencies post their Train door data in a form that is both easy to use and fully consistent with the existing data.
- Project contacts posted. This includes the names, office and cell phone numbers, and e-mail addresses for Train Door team members.
- The agendas and minutes for Rail Car Door System Analysis Project meetings and conference calls are now posted for review.
- A link will enable industry professionals to leave comments, suggestions, and other feedback for team members.
- A "chat room" will be established for door maintenance personnel, engineers, and other professionals. This will become a vital communications link for industry professionals as the project moves forward. This will be prove to be especially critical as additional transit agencies are added to the research project, and the research work expands to include commuter and light rail operators.

4. The web-based database planned for traindoors.com resulted from completion of five basic tasks in the TCRP project:

Task 1. Develop a web-based data entry system for the Relational Database Management System (RDBMS). Review the existing Field Questionnaire and make appropriate modifications. Revise the Questionnaire to facilitate data entry and analysis based upon an all electronic web-based form.

Task 2. Build on the work of APTA's Rolling Stock Equipment Technical Forum and design a user-friendly, searchable RDBMS. Integrate the revised Questionnaire into the RDBMS.

Task 3. Implement the RDBMS.

Task 4. Analyze available data on rail car doors and identify findings and conclusions regarding rail car door operations, performance, and opportunities for improvements.

Task 5. Prepare a brief report documenting the activities of this project and introduce the rail car RDBMS and the associated website to the public transportation industry. The report and presentation should include initial findings and conclusions regarding rail car door operations, performance, and opportunities for improvements.

D10 Advantages of a Website

D10.1 Objectives and Approach

Key objectives of the train door project are to collect and broadly disseminate valuable information to the transit industry. The nuts and bolts of how data is collected, processed and distributed dramatically affect the success of the project in reaching those objectives. This section describes www.traindoors.com, the tool built by the project to enable and enhance information sharing.

The first versions of the door questionnaires required users to enter data using a standard word processor or entering data by hand. From review of data provided by five transit agencies entered in this way, several problems were revealed:

- Answers were not always complete, were not always in the requested format, and were not always directly responsive to the questions. This reflected the fact that the questionnaires included open-ended “why” type questions.
- Transcribing the answers from the word processor document into a spreadsheet database so that it could be compared and reported upon was highly labor intensive. Accordingly, using a word processor and manual post-processing is not well suited to be ‘scaled-up’ and used broadly in the transit industry.

The solution to these problems was to create a revised questionnaire and an automated means for data collection and processing. This solution is now implemented at traindoors.com.

D10.2 Improved Data Collection Process

A modern web-based data entry form and on-line-accessible database contained in traindoors.com was developed, which had many advantages:

- This approach eliminates manual post-processing. The originator of the data at the transit agency directly enters it into the database.
- This approach ensures that the data entries are in the parallel format, for reasonable comparison.
- Data originators enter data using standard web-based database entry techniques (such as multiple choice “radio buttons” and drop down type menu selection). The interface is familiar to anyone who has bought a product on the Web - simply answer the questions, make the entries, and then hit a SUBMIT button.
- Management of an on-line database is greatly simplified.

The traindoors.com web-based database opens the benefits of the Team’s work to the ‘world community’ of train door experts and others interested in improving train door systems.