

1975 Resurvey of the Annual Poundage of Food Chemicals Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) (1978)

Pages 25

Size

7 x 11 ISBN

030933621X

Committee on GRAS List Survey--Phase III; Food and Nutrition Board; Division of Biological Sciences; Assembly of Life Sciences; National Research Council





Visit the National Academies Press online and register for...

- ✓ Instant access to free PDF downloads of titles from the
 - NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 - NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING
 - INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE
 - NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL
- √ 10% off print titles
- Custom notification of new releases in your field of interest
- ✓ Special offers and discounts

Distribution, posting, or copying of this PDF is strictly prohibited without written permission of the National Academies Press. Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences.

To request permission to reprint or otherwise distribute portions of this publication contact our Customer Service Department at 800-624-6242.



REFERENCE COPY FOR LIBRARY USE ONLY

1975 RESURVEY OF THE ANNUAL POUNDAGE OF FOOD CHEMICALS GENERALLY RECOGNIZED AS SAFE (GRAS)

Committee on GRAS List Survey -- Phase III

·Food and Nutrition Board ·Division of Biological Sciences ·Assembly of Life Sciences National Research Council

National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.
November, 1978

NAS-NAE NOV 2 0 1978

LIBRARY

Committee on GRAS List Survey -- Phase III

L. J. Filer, Jr., Chairman, University of Iowa College of Medicine

R. L. Hall, Vice Chairman, McCormick & Company, Inc.

Owen R. Fennema, University of Wisconsin

Dee M. Graham, Del Monte Research Center

Gilbert A. Leveille, Michigan State University

Willard B. Robinson, Cornell University

Arthur T. Schramm, Food Materials Corporation

Bernard S. Schweigert, University of California

Committee Staff

Kenneth R. Fulton, Staff Officer

Lalita H. Malkani, Secretary

NOTICE

The project that is the subject of this report was approved by the Governing Board of the National Research Council, whose members are drawn from the Councils of the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering, and the Institute of Medicine. The members of the Committee responsible for the report were chosen for their special competencies and with regard for appropriate balance.

This report has been reviewed by a group other than the authors according to procedures approved by a Report Review Committee consisting of members of the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering, and the Institute of Medicine.

The work on which this report is based was performed pursuant to Contract No. 223-77-2025 with the Food and Drug Administration.

Introduction

In 1971, a survey of the use of generally recognnized as safe (GRAS) substances in food was conducted for the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) by a committee of the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council. An explanation of this survey, known as the Phase II Survey, and a summary of its findings can be found in A Comprehensive Survey on the Use of Food Chemicals Generally Recognized as Safe (available from the National Technical Information Service as publication number PB-221 949). The data collected in the Phase II Survey included the total poundage of each substance added to foods in 1970. As part of its continuing review of the safety of GRAS substances, FDA asked the Academy (through its Committee on GRAS List Survey -- Phase III) to undertake the 1975 Annual Poundage Resurvey. This follow-up to the Phase II Survey was to determine the poundage of GRAS substances used in food in 1975. In early 1976, each company that had reported the use of GRAS substances in the Phase II Survey was sent a computer printout of the 1970 poundage it reported for each substance used, and was requested to update this information for 1975. These were sent both to respondents to the Academy's GRAS Survey and, in addition, to member companies of the Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA). FEMA had conducted a survey of its members in 1971, data from which were included in the report of the Phase II Survey.

In reviewing the response to the Poundage Resurvey, several problems were immediately apparent. The Phase II Survey had not reached, nor had it been designed to cover,

every food company in the United States. The Committee estimated that in general the Survey accounted for approximately 60% of the dollar volume of sales for the U.S. food processing industry. The 1975 Poundage Resurvey was an attempt to obtain trend information and so was restricted to those companies for which baseline (1970) data were available. In the intervening years, some of these companies had gone out of business, had merged with or been acquired by other firms, or had moved from one location to another. In addition, some companies did not respond with data for 1975 usage. These facts made matching the 1970 data with those collected in 1975 very difficult. Substances for which the data base from the Phase II Survey and the 1975 Resurvey was considered too small to be reliable are noted "insufficient data reported in resurvey" in the report.

An additional problem was encountered in dealing with data obtained through three subsurveys of various industry segments contributing to the Phase II Survey. These special subsurveys covered the brewing, chewing gum, and hard candy segments of the food industry; of these, only poundage information for substances used in chewing gum in 1975 was provided to the Academy. These data were not as detailed as the remainder of the 1975 Resurvey. Because only the total poundage of each substance used in 1975 by the entire chewing gum industry was reported. it was not possible to match 1970 data on a company by company basis. Data for the chewing gum section of the Resurvey are shown only in the totals for each substance (see page 5 below).

Format of the Data

The information received in the 1975 Poundage Resurvey is presented in this report as a computer printout, and includes the following data:

Substance Name and Number. The printout is sequenced alphabetically by substance name. The code number for each substance is printed beneath the substance name. Substances included in both the NAS and FEMA Survey were assigned different numbers in each survey; both numbers are shown.

Total 1970 Poundage, NAS and FEMA. The total poundage reported in Phase II by both NAS and FEMA companies is given. All figures have been rounded to three significant digits. This column includes 1970 poundage figures from respondents to the brewing, chewing gum, and hard candy industries.

Number of Reports, 1970/1975/New. This column displays response information separately for NAS and FEMA companies. The first figure given in each set ("1970") is the number of reports received for each substance in the Phase II Survey. The second figure ("1975") indicates the number of these respondents reporting in the 1975 Survey. The third figure ("New") is the number of reports received in the 1975 Resurvey from companies not reporting the use of a substance in 1970. In each column, an asterisk indicates that three or fewer reports were received.

Matched 1970 Poundage and Percent. Although the number of reports shown in the previous column can be used to compute a response rate for each substance, the Committee felt that matching 1970 and 1975 poundage figures yielded a more realistic estimate of the 1975 Resurvey's coverage. The first number shown is the number of 1970 pounds reported in the Phase II Survey that were accounted for by the respondents to the 1975 Resurvey. This is also expressed in percent.

Matched 1975 Poundage. This is the 1975 poundage for each substance as reported by only those companies responding both in the Phase II and 1975 Surveys.

The "matching" concept is best illustrated by using the data for Acacia (NAS 0001/FEMA 2001) as an example. In the Phase II Survey, the use of 10,400,000 pounds of Acacia in 1970 was reported by 65 NAS companies and 59 FEMA companies (for a total of 124 companies). In the 1975 Resurvey, 33 of the 65 NAS companies and 50 of the 59 FEMA companies responded with data on this substance (for a total of 83 companies). In addition, three or fewer NAS companies not reporting on Acacia in the Phase II Survey reported in 1975. The 83 companies responding to both the Phase II Survey and the 1975 Poundage Resurvey accounted for 6,330,000 of the 10,400,000 pounds reported in 1970 (61%). These companies reported using 2,780,000 pounds of Acacia in 1975.

Ratio 1975/1970. This is obtained by dividing the matched 1975 poundage by the matched 1970 poundage. Great care must be used in interpreting these ratios. In many cases they are indicative of a trend in the use of a substance, but they cannot be relied upon as an exact measure of the change in the use of individual substances between 1970 and 1975.

Total 1975 Pounds Reported. This is the total of all 1975 poundage reports for each substance. If this figure is not the same as "matched 1975 poundage", the difference can be accounted for either by "new" reports in the 1975 Resurvey or by the inclusion of reported use of the substance in chewing gum (see page 2 above) in the toal 1975 poundage.

The effect of the data for the chewing gum industry can easily be seen in the figures shown for candelilla wax (NAS substance number 0261). Although the 1975/1970 Ratio is only .44, note that only 1,580 of the 1,430,000 pounds reported in 1970 were matched in the Resurvey. A comparison of the 1970 and 1975 totals, however, shows a slightly upward trend.

Notes. The numbers in this column refer to the footnotes found on the last page of the printout:

"1. This substance was included in the "Chewing Gum" subsurvey in 1970. Resurvey data for poundages reported in this subsurvey are present only for Total 1975 Poundage."

See the paragraph concerning Candelilla wax, above, for an example.

"2. This substance was included in the "Brewing" and/or "Hard Candy" subsurveys in 1970. Resurvey data for poundages reported in these subsurveys are not included."

See the listing for papain (NAS substance number 0142) for an example. Note that although the 1975/1970 ratio shows a decrease in use, only 29% of the 1970 poundage was matched in the Resurvey. The remaining 71% (well over 100,000 pounds) is accounted for by the brewing industry, for which 1975 data are not available.

"3. This substance is widely used and it is probable that neither the original survey nor this resurvey reached many companies which, although using small amounts individually, account in the aggregate for a substantial share of total use."

Discussion of Specific Substances

As explained above under "Ratio 1975/1970", some trends in the use of certain substances are discernible, most of which might be the result of a number of related conditions. In attempting to identify possible reasons for trends, the Committee sought the opinions of individuals familiar with the manufacture, marketing, and use of a number of these substances.

One of the primary reasons for an increase in the use of GRAS substances is that the food supply itself is increasing. According to Statistical Abstract of the United States 1977 (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census), the U.S. population grew from 204,900,000 to 213,600,000 between 1970 and 1975, an increase of 4.25%. As the population grows, more food is produced, resulting in the addition of a correspondingly greater number of pounds of food additives.

Economics seems to be a major reason for the shift from one substance to another. Several substances can often be used interchangeably to achieve the same functional effect in food, and preference for one substance over another will frequently be the result of both cost and quantity factors. Examples of the cost-effectiveness process can be seen in the decreasing use of sucrose and dextrose, which are being replaced in many applications with high fructose corn syrups. This could also be one explanation for the increasing use of mono- and diglycerides and glyceryl monostearate, as these substances are used

in place of the more expensive acacia, glycerin, and chondrus extract as emulsifiers, stabilizers and thickeners. Economics could explain as well the apparent shift from potassium metabisulfite to sodium metabisulfite.

Public concern with, and regulatory action on, the use of certain substances also have an effect. The use of acacia, carrageenan, sodium chloride, and sucrose has decreased; it is likely that this is in part due to concern about the safety of the first two and adverse publicity about the use of salt and sugar in processed food. The increase in use of sodium saccharin and sorbitol undoubtedly results from the ban on cyclamates imposed in late 1969.

Some substances come into greater use with improvements in technology. Examples include the modified food starches and corn syrup, for which many new uses have been found in the food industry. Improved technology also contributes to a decrease in use of certain substances. An example might be the decrease in the use of sulfur dioxide, made possible by improvements in processing that reduce the need for antioxidants.

Still another possible reason for some trends in use may be related to changing food consumption patterns. The increase in the use of rennet parallels the growth of the cheese industry. The increasing use of yeasts may be due in part to the interest in "natural" food products and to increased use of hydrolyzed yeast. Increased consumer interest in the need for trace minerals and vitamins is probably responsible for the increase in (among others) copper, iron, and zinc compounds; in the A, B, and C vitamins; and in niacin and niacinamide.

Summary and Conclusions

In an attempt to discern trends in the use of GRAS substances in food between 1970 and 1975, companies that provided poundage information to the Academy in its 1971 GRAS Survey (Phase II) were asked to provide updated information on the use of these substances in 1975. The data from the 1975 Annual Poundage Resurvey are incomplete, because not all respondents to the GRAS Survey provided data in the Resurvey; because many food companies had changed hands or gone out of business, making 1970-1975 comparison difficult; and because two major segments of the food industry -- brewers and manufacturers of hard candy -- did not participate in the Resurvey.

Although the poundage figures and resulting ratios are imprecise, they may indicate trends in the use of certain GRAS substances. Reasons for these shifts in use include innovative technology, cost, safety concerns, adverse publicity, regulatory action, and changing patterns of food consumption.

SURSTANCE NAME	TCTAL 1970 PCUNDAGE	1979/1	PCPTS****** 975/NEW	MATCHED		MATCHED 1975	RATIO_	TGTAL 1975	
(SUPVEY NR)	NAS + FFMA	****IVZ***	***FF44**	PCUNDAGE	*	POUNDAGE	75/70	LBS REPORTED	NOTES
ACACTA NAS 0001 FEMA 2001	10,400,000	65/ 33/ +	59/ 50/	6,330,000	61	2,780,000	.44	3,590,000	1.2
ACETIC ACID NAS 0002 FEMA 2006	10,203,000	13/ 7/ 5	64/ 46/ *	5,870,000	58	7,060,000	1.20	7,770,000	1,2
NAS 2023 FEMA 2011	5,100,000	9/ 7/ *	7/ 4/	5,050,000	100	4,070,000	.FC	4,160,000	
AGAR-AGAR NAS 0004 FEMA 2012	210,000	21/ 13/ *	*/ */ *	124,000	55	145.000	1.20	149,000	
ALANINE MAS 0005 FEMA 3251	12		INSUFFICIENT	DATA PEPCOTI	ED IN	PESUPVEY			
ALUMINUM AMMON SULFATE RECC SAM	302,000	4/ */	, ,	256,000	98	216,000	.73	216,300	
ALUMINUM POT SULFATE NAS 0309	950		INSUFFICIENT	DATA REPORT	ED IN	RESURVEY			
ALUMINUM SODIUM SULFATE 0100 2AM	4,760,000	5/ 4/	· · · · ·	4,650,300	98	1,490,000	.32	1,490,000	
ALUMINUM SULFATE NAS 0011 FEMA 3547	673,000	13/ 12/	*/ /	661,000	98	427,000	. 65	427,000	
AMMENTUM ALGINATE NAS 0012	68,200	*/ */	, ,	66,000	97	90,630	1.40	90,600	
AMMONIUM BICARBONATE	4,272,000	19/ 15/	, ,	4,130,000	97	5,100,000	1.20	5,100,000	
AMMONIUM CAPBONATE NAS 0014	32,200	4/ */ *	, ,	27,200	100	6,600	.20	10,600	
AMMENTUM HYDROXIDE NAS CO15	741,000	5/ 4/	, ,	687,000	93	804,000	1.20	824,200	
AMMONTUM PHOSPHATE DI NAS 0016	585,000	8/ 6/ *	, ,	524,000	90	274,000	.5?	941,000	
AMMENTUM PHOSPHATE MONO NAS 0017	65		INSUFFICIENT	BATA PEPCRT	ED IN	RESUPVEY			
AMMONIUM SACCHARIN NAS 0018	20		INSUFFICIENT	DATA REPERT	EO IN	RESURVEY			

-

SUBSTANCE, NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******NR REP	75/NEW	MATCHED 1	970	MATCHEC 1975	RATIC	TCTAL 1975	
(SUPVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	******	***FFMA***	PCUNDAGE	2	PCUNDAGE	75/70	LBS SEPORTED	NOTES
AMMENTUM SULFATE	1,980,000	11/ 8/ *	, ,	1,870,000	94	3,430,000	1.80	3,490,000	
ASCORBIC ACID NAS 0022 FEMA 2109	2,490,000	69/ 55/ 5	35/ 32/ *	2,170,000	87	3,500,000	1.60	3,520,000	2
ASCOPRYL PALMITATE NAS 0323	. 66		INSUFFIC IENT	DATA PEPCRTED	IN P	PESURVEY			
BFESWAX NAS 0026	16,400	10/ 9/	, ,	14.700	90	8,890	. C C	9,820	1
NAS 0027 FEMA 2126	4,440	- 17:17	INSUFFICIENT	DATA FEPERTED	IN R	RESURVEY			1
BENZOIC ACID NAS 0029 FEMA 2131	84,300	6/ */	17/ 12/	78,8CC	93	303,000	3. FC	303,000	1
BENZOYL PEROXIDE NAS 0254	1,040,000	7/ 6/ *	, ,	1,010,000	97	1,460,000	1.40	1,470,000	
BICTIN NAS 0030	. 43		INSUFFICIENT	DATA FEPCPTED	IN R	RESURVEY			
BOUILLON, VEGET, SMOKED NAS 0256	2,550		INSUFFICIENT	DATA PEPORTED	IN R	RESURVEY			
BPANDY NAS 0257	1,850,000	5/ 4/	/ /	1,850,000	100	2,180,000	1.20	2,180,000	
BUTTER FAT ENZ MOD W /8 A NAS 0258	186,000	7/ 6/ *	/ /	185,000	99	266,000	. 1.40	267,000	
BUTYLATED HYDROXYANISOLE NAS 0032 FEMA 2183	551,000	55/ 43/ 5	42/ 29/ *	481,000	87	440,000	. 91	443,000	1,2
BUTYLATED HYDROXYTCLUENE NAS 0033 FEMA 2184	597,000	52/ 33/ 4	22/ 15/ *	335,000	56	244,000	.73	280,000	1+2
CAFFEINF NAS 0034 FEMA 2224	1,390,000	*/ */	26/ 24/ *	1,390,000	100	2,040,000	1.50	2,040,000	
CALCIUM ACETATE NAS 0035 FEMA 2228	52,700	6/ 5/ *	*/ */	45,200	86	51,000	1.10	129,000	
CALCIUM ALGINATE NAS 0036	10,400		INSUFFICIENT	DATA PEPCRTED	IN R	RESURVEY			

SUBSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	1970/19	PCRTS****** 975/NEW	MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	PAT 10	TCTAL 1975	
(SUPVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	****N1S***	***FEMA**	PCLNDAGE		POUNDAGE	75/70		NCTE
CALCIUM CARBONATE NAS 0038	19,800,000	48/ 26/ 5	, ,	7,160,900	36	5,510,000	.77	21,130,000	1
CALCIUM CHLORIDE NAS 0039	1,300,000	50/ 42/ *	, ,	1,190,000	92	3,040,000	2.60	3,040,000	2
CALCIUM CITRATE	17,900	5/ 5/	, ,	17,900	100	9,400	•53	9,400	E
CALCIUM GLUCONATE NAS 0041	275,000	7/ 6/	/ /	275,100	100	59,700	.22	59,700	
CALCIUM HYDROXIDE NAS 0344	868,000	19/ 13/ 4	, ,	530,000	61	471,000	. 89	861,200	
CALCIUM LACTATE NAS CO+5 FEMA 3535	73,600	9/ 9/	*/ */ .	. 73,600	100	52,300	.71	52,300	
CALCIUM OXIDE NAS 6046	108,000	4/ 4/	, ,	108,000	100	123,000	1.10	123,000	
CALCIUM PANTOTHENATE	8,000	14/ 9/ *		6,920	87	3,280	.47	3,930	
CALCIUM PHOSPHATE DI NAS 0048 FEMA 3656	18,200,000	26/ 21/ *	*/ */	17,800,000	98	1,930,000	-11	3,330,000	
CALCIUM PHOSPHATE MONO NAS 0049	34,200,000	49/ 40/ *		32,100,000	94	47,800,000	1.50	47,830,300	-
NAS 2050 FEMA 3081	4,090,000	59/ 11/ *	24/ 21/ *	3,980,000	97	4,320,000	1.10	6,300,000	i
CALCIUM PHYTATE NAS 3351	3,380		INSUFFICIENT	T DATA FEPCRIE	DINI	RESURVEY		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CALCIUM PROPIONATE NAS 0052	3,930,000	33/ 20/		1,900,000	50	3,090,000	1.60	3,090,000	
CALCIUM SACCHARIN NAS 0054 FEMA 3528	56,700	16/ 14/	*/ */	46,000	81	20,900	.45	20,800	
CALCIUM STLTGATE NAS 2055	1,210,600	15/ 14/ *	, ,	1,200,000	99	575,000	.48	576,000	
CALCIUM STEARATE NAS 0260 FEMA 3572	378,000	18/ 14/	4/ */	334,000	38	241,000	.12	288,000	1,2

SOBSTANCE NAME	POUNDAGE		PCRTS****** 975/NEW	MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	RATTO	TOTAL 1975	
(SURVEY NP)	NAS + FEMA		***FEMA***	POUNDAGE	×	POUNDAGE	75/70	LBS REPORTED	NCTE
CALCIUM SULFATE NAS 0057	15,700,000	30/ 21/ *	/ /	11,200,000	71	14,600,000	1.20	14,600,000	2
CANDELILLA WAX NAS 0261	1,430,000	6/ */	, ,	1,580		700	. 44	1,730,300	1
CAPFYLIC ACID NAS 0058 FEMA 2799	1,530	/ /*	20/ 16/	1,530	100	5,360	3.50	5,400	1.2
CARAMEL NAS 0059 FEMA 2235	75,600,000	115/ 69/ 4	83/ 62/ 5	72,460,000	96	73,200,000	1.00	74,100,0CC	2
CARRON NAS 0262	13,370	6/ 6/ *	, ,	13,300	100	31,500	2.40	36,100	
CARRON DIOXIDE 0050 ZAN	26,700,000	15/ 11/ *	7 /	20,300,000	76	24,700,000	1.20	25,700,000	
CARBOXYMETHYL CFLLULCSE NAS 0263 FEMA 2239	660,000	12/ 8/ *	.22/ 18/ *	664,000	92	129,070	•21	366,000	
CARNAUBA WAX NAS 0361 FEMA 3514	117,000	20/ 12/	*/ /	77,100	66	63,170	. 62	73,100	1
CAROB BEIN GUM NAS 0062 FEMA 2243	2,610,000	16/ 12/ *	32/ 30/ 4	1,920,000	74	1,290,000	.67	1,300,500	2
CAROTENS NAS 2063 FEMA 3548	42,600	37/ 30/ *	*/ /	39,100	92	93,700	2.40	94,700	
CELLULOSE NAS 0265	579,000	10/ 8/	, ,	547,000	94	994,000	1.80	994,000	
CHLOFOPHYLL NAS 0266	2,720		INSUFFICIENT	DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY			1
CHOLINE BITARTRATE NAS 2065	37,100		INSUFFICIENT	DATA PEPCRTE	DIN	FSURVFY	2.	and a second of the second of	
CHOLINE CHLORIDE NAS 2056	, 9,140	5/ */ *	, ,	5,200	57	9,240	1.80	9,940	
CHONDRUS EXTRACT NAS 0067 FEMA 2596 /	1,270,000	26/ 18/	8/ 7/ *	1,150,000	91	568,000	. 49	572,300	
CITRIC ACID NAS 0068 FEMA 2306	35,900,000	136/104/ 8	109/ 77/ **	33,200,000	92	56,000,000	1.70	56,500,000	1,2

URSTANCE NAME	TCTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******NR RFP 1970/19		MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	RATIC	TCTAL 1975	
(SUPVEY NR)	NAS + FFMA	****/./5***	***FEMA***	PCUNDAGE	1		75/70	LAS REPORTED	NCTES
COPPER GLUCONATE NAS 0069	2,870	7/ 4/ *	/ /	2,840	99	5,140	1.80	9,990	1
CORN MINT OIL MAS 0269	1,800	141	INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	PESURVEY			
NAS 027G	1,030,000,000	137/104/ 4	, ,	882,000,000	86	1,440,000,000	1.60	1,530,000,000	1,3
L-CYSTEINE NAS 0071 FEMA 3285	26,699	5/ 4/ *	11/ 11/	26,600	100	34,800	1.30	34,800	
CYSTINE	55		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA PEPCRTE	DIN	RESURVEY		×	
DEXTROSE NAS 0271	514,300,000	136/103/ *	/ / .	448,0CC,0CC	87	266,000,000	. 59	277,030,000	1,3
DIACETYL TAR MONODIGLY NAS 0076	428,000	6/ 6/	, ,	42R,000	100	437,000	1.00	437,000	
ENZYMES. BACTERIAL NAS 0273	40,200	5/ 5/	· / / .	40,200	100	44,300	1.10	44,300	
ENTYMES, PROTECLYTIC NAS 0274	270,000	8/ 7/ *	, ,	270,000	100	226,000	.84	731,000	
NAS 0078 FEMA 2410	550,000	6/ 4/ *	6/ 6/*	549,300	100	698,000	1.30	. 853,000	
ETHYL FORMATE NAS 0079 FEMA 2434	29,100	*/ */ *	56/ 36/	25,300	87	27,600	1.10	31,600	1,2
FERRIC PHOSPHATE NAS CORO	651,000	20/ 16/	, ,	616,000	95	387,000	.63	387,0CC	
FEPRIC PYROPHOSPHATE NAS 0081	1,510		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY		*	
FFRRIC SODIUM PYROPHOS NAS 3082	,403,000	12/ 7/ *	, ,	329,300	82	18,800	•C5	22,400	Re-Leville
FEPROCYANIDE SALTS	1,150	*/ */	, ,	1,150	100	2,380	2.10	2,380	
FERPOUS GLUCONATE NAS 0093	2,200		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY			

...

.

SUBSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******NR REP	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	MATCHED	197C	MATCHED 1975	RATIO	TOTAL 1975	
(SURVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	**** \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	***FEM ***	POUNDAGE	2	POUNDAGE	75/70	LBS PEPCRTED	NCTES
EPROUS SULFATE NAS 0085	416,000	23/ 13/ *	, ,	140,000	34	355,000	2.50	362,000	
NAS 0086 FEMA 2519	4,470		INSUFFICIENT	DATA FEPCRTE	DIN	RESURVEY			
NAS 0278	96,800	8/ 6/ *	, ,	16,700	17	35,500	2.10	41,000	
NAS 0087 FEMA 3307	5,780	*/ */	5/ 5/	5,780	100	6,620	1.10	6,620	•
LYCERIN MAS 0089 FEMA 2525	6,400,000	41/ 24/ 4	38/ 31/ *	4,420,300	68	2,300,000	.52	4,190,000	1,2
NAS 0281	60,400		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA PEPCRTE	DIN	RESURVEY			
GLYCERYL MONOSTEAPATE NAS 0000 FEMA 2527	6,140,000	34/ 22/	5/ 4/	5,820,000	95	14,200,000	2.40	15,400,000	1
GUAF GUM NAS 0992 FEMA 2537	4,350,000	40/ 36/ 4	14/ 9/ *	3,990,000	52	4,840,330	1.20	5,170,000	
OMS. VEGETABLE NAS 0382	317,000	20/ 12/ *	, ,	289,000	91	926,000	3.2C	938,000	1
NAS 0097	37,900,000	17/ 15/ 5	, ,	37,600,000	79	81,000,000	2.20	81,700,000	
YOROGEN PEROXIDE NAS 0098	917,000	7/ 6/	, ,	862,220	94	1,390,000	1.60	1,390,000	
NOSITEL NAS 0099	7,130	T-197	INSUFFICIENT	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY			
RUN, REDUCED NAS G100	343,000	19/ 15/ 6	, ,	257,300	75	633,000	2.50	670,300	
SO-LFUCINE NAS 0101 FEMA 3330	, ,		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	אז מ	RESURVEY			
ISOPRUPYL CITRATE(S) NAS C285	/ 46,100	*/ */	, ,	46,100	100	55,200	1.20	55,200	
NAS 0103 FEMA 2611	1,490,000	24/ 21/ *	56/ 39/ 4	1,340,000	90	1,170,030	. 67	1,210,000	1,2

.

SUBSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******NR REP	PORTS******	MATCHED 1970	MATCHED 1975	PATIO	TOTAL 1975	
(SUGVEY NR)	NAS + FFMA	******	***FEMA***	POLNDAGE &	POUNDAGE	75/70	LBS REPORTED	NOTES
LECITHIN NAS 0104 FEMA 3519	9,130,000	95/75/ *	*/ */	7,850,000 86	8,070,000	1.00	8,630,000	1,2
LECTTHIN, MOD W/HYD PER NAS 0237	403,000	7/ 6/ *	/ /	285,000 71	339,000	1.20	473,000	1
LFUCINF NAS C135 FEMA 3329	48		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTED IN	RESURVEY	Campbell (1 X		
LIVER FRACTIONS NAS 0289	······································		INSUFFICIEN	T CATA FEPCPTED IN	PESURVEY			
L-LYSINE NAS 0109	149,300	4/ */ *	, ,	140,000 94				• :
MAGNESTUM CARBONATE NAS 0110	498,003	14/ 9/ 4	/ / .	489,000 98	70,800	.14	92,300	
MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE NAS 0111	170,00C	*/ */	, ,	170,200 100	78,500	.46	78,500	
MAGNESTUM DXIDE NAS 0112	4,290	6/ */ *	//	3,690 86	40,900	11.00	49,900	
MAGNÉSTUM PHOSPHATE DI NAS OLL3	12,900		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTED IN	RESURVEY			
MAGNESIUM SILICATE NAS 0115	47,100		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTED IN	RESURVEY	-		1
MAGNESIUM STEARATE NAS C116	233,000	16/12/*	, ,	201,000 86	363,000	1.80	438,000	1
MAGNESIUM SULFATE NAS CL17	15,200	4/ 4/ *	, ,	15,200 100	4,000	. 26	4,050	
MALIC ACID NAS 0118 FEMA 2655	4,170,000	35/ 28/ *	37/ 28/ 5	2,270,000 54	11,500,000	5.10	11,600,000	1,2
MALT SYRUP NAS 0291 FEMA 3579	7,530,000	22/ 18/ *	*/ /	6,280,000 83	6,980,000	1.10	6,990,000	1
MANGANESE CHLORIDE NAS 0119	10	***************************************	INSUFFICIEN	T DATA PEPORTED IN	RESUPVEY			
MANGANESE SULFATE NAS 0124	3,430	12/ 8/ *	, ,	2,980 87	16,900	5.70	17,000	

...

URSTANCE NAME	TCTAL 197C POUNDAGE		PORTS****** 975/NFW	MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	RATIO	TOTAL 1975	
(SUPVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	****//2***	THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH	POUNDAGE	*	PGUNDAGE	75/70	LES REPORTED	NCTES
MANNITCL NAS 0126 FEMA 3520	1,750,000	11/ 6/	*/ */	112,000	6	101,000	.50	3,200,000	1
METHYLCELLULOSE NAS 0127 FEMA 2696	118,000	11/ 8/	7/ 5/	97,100	82	62,430	.64	67,300	1
METHYLPARABEN NAS 0129 FEMA 2710	9,040	9/ 8/	*/ */	5,030	56	826	.16	826	
METHYLPOLYSILICCNE NAS 0292	2,880	7/ 6/	/ /	2,830	98	1,450	. 51	1,450	
MILK POWDR WHOLE, ENZ MOD NAS 0293	6,490,000	11/ 9/	, ,	6,380,000	98	2,870,000	.45	3,110,000	1
MOND- AND DIGLYCERIDES NAS 0130 FEMA 3511	72,700,000	74/54/*	*/ */ *	65,300,000	90	84,500,030	1.30	85,900,000	1,2
MONOAMMONIUM GLUTAMATE NAS 0129 FEMA 3648	19,000	*/ */	*/ */	19,000	100	24,000	1.2)	24,000	
MONOGLYCEPIDE CITRATE NAS 0131	4,700	*/ */	///	4,000	100	С	.00	0	
MONOPOTASSIUM GLUTAMATE NAS C133 FEMA 3523	86,000	÷/ */	*/ */	86,000	100	42,200	. 49	42,200	
MCNOSCOLUM GLUTAMATE NAS 0134 FEMA 2756	27,300,000	61/48/6	47/ 38/ *	22,600,000	83	27,300,000	1.20	28,400,000	
MONOSOCIUM PHOSMONODIGLY NAS 0135	27,000	*/ */	, ,	27,000	100	9,000	.33	9,000	
NIACIN NAS 0136	665,000	28/ 22/ 5	, ,	437,000	66	1,270,000	2.50	1,300,000	
NIAC INAMIDE NAS 0137	140,000	23/ 17/ *	, ,	135,300	96	386,000	2.90	2,590,000	
NITROGEN NAS 0138	13,900,000	11/ 7/ +	, ,	13,800,000	99	15,800,000	1.10	15,900,000	
NITROUS CXIDE NAS 0139 FEMA 2779 /	118,000	*/ */ *	*/ */	118,000	100	75,000	.64	308,000	
OX BILE EXTRACT NAS 0140	470		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY		and the second second second second	

SUPSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******NR RE	975/NEW	MATCHED	1970	MATCHEC 1975	RATIC	TOTAL 1975	
(SURVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA		***FEMA***	POLNDAGE		PCUNCAGE	75/70	LAS REPORTED	NCTES
PAPAIN NAS 0142	159,000	57/ 14/	, ,	45,600	29	32,000	.70	32,300	2
PEPSIN NAS 0296	16,700	*/ */	, ,	12,700	75	6,650	.52	6,650	1
PEPTUNE NAS 0297	43,600	6/ */	, ,	1R,60C	43	2,210	.12	2,210	2
PHENYLALANINE NAS 0143 FEMA 3372	6		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA PEPCRTE	DIN	CSURVEY			
PHOSPHORIC ACID NAS 0145 FEMA 2900	10,500,000	24/ 13/ *	29/ 28/ *	9,870,000	84	18,500,000	2.10	19,400,000	3
POTASSIUM ACID TARTRATE NAS 01:6	585,000	27/ 23/	///	442,000	75	299,000	.68	299,000	
PCTASSIUM ALGINATE NAS 0147	300		INSUFFIC LEA	NT DATA FEPCRTE	CINI	ESURVEY			
POTASSIUM BICARBONATE NAS 0148	22,400	*/ */ *	/ /	22,400	100	40,000	1.00	40,200	
POTASSIUM BROMATE NAS C299	303,000	20/16/*	, ,	300,000	99	185,000	.62	196,200	
POTASSIUM CARBONATE NAS 0150	2,400,000	8/ 6/ *	, ,	2,390,000	100	2,270,000	• 95	2,270,000	
POTASSIUM CHLORIDE NAS 0151	795,000	22/ 19/ *	, ,	783,000	98	1,980,000	2.50	1,990,000	
POTASSIU4 CITRATE NAS 0152	574,000	20/ 17/ *	, ,	500,000	87	806,000	1.60	e11,000	
POTASSIUM HYDROXIDE NAS 0154	1,140,000	9/ 8/ *	, ,	1,140,000	100	1,600,000	1.40	1,620,000	
POTASSIUM 10010E NAS 0155	37,100	23/ 19/ *	, ,	37,00¢	100	53,700	1.50	53,700	***************************************
POTASSIUM METABISULFITE NAS 0156	248,000	22/ */	, ,	64,100	26	27,400	.43	27,400	- ž
POTASSIUM PHOSPHATE DI NAS 0157	2,580,000	13/ 11/ *	, ,	2,130,000	83	4,530,000	2.10	4,880,000	

SUBSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******NR REP	CRTS*******	MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	PATIC	TOTAL 1975	
(SUPVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	**** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***FEMA***	PCUNDAGE			75/70		NCTES
PCTASSIUM PHOSPHATE, MONO NAS 0304	41,500	4/ 4/ *	, ,	41,500	100	78,730	.69	34,800	
POTASSIUM PHOSPHATE, TRI NAS 0305	175,000	*/ */		175,300	100	370,000	2.10	370,000	
POTASSIUM SORBATE NAS 0158 FEMA 2921	1,080,000	55/ 46/ 4	29/ 25/ *	919,000	85	1,900,000	2.10	2,020,000	
PCTASSIUM SULFATE NAS 0159	40,600	*/ */	, ,	40,600	icc	8,050	.2C	8,050	
POTASSIUM TRIPULYPHOS NAS 0308	2,489	*/ */	, ,	2,480	100	4,000	1.60	4,000	
PROLINE NAS C161 FEMA 3377	3	# 1.0kt - o.t. 21 11.11.11	INSUFFICIENT	DATA PEPCRTE	CIN	RESURVEY			
PROPIONIC ACID NAS 0163 FEMA 2924	30,200	*/ */ *	22/ 20/	24,700	82	26,430	1.10	54,700	1,2
PROPYL GALLATE NAS 0165 FEM1 2947	137,000	14/ 8/ *	7/ 6/	130,000	95	103,000	.79	108,000	1
PPOPYLENE GLYCOL NAS 0164 FEMA 2940	5,680,000	49/40/*	91/ 56/	5,380,000	95	8,490,000	1.00	8,560,000	1,2
PROPYLENE GLYCOL PSTEARAT NAS 0309 FEMA 2942	7,060,000	7/ 4/	*/ */	6,460,000	92	4,100,000	.63	4,100,000	
PROPYL PARABEN MAS 0166 FEMA 2751	4,030	12/ 11/	5/ 4/	3,840	95	1,380	.36	1,380	
PPOTEIN, ANIMAL, HYDROLYZ NAS 0310	250,000	*/ */	/ /	250,000	100	60,000	.74	60,000	
PROTEIN, VEGE, HYDROLYZED NAS 0311 FEMA 3517	17,200,000	52/ 45/ *	*/ */	16,600,000	97	21,100,000	1.30	22,500,300	
PYRIDEXINE HYDROCHLORIDE NAS 0167	, 17,300	20/ 14/ 4	//	17.130	99	62,300	3.60	62,900	
RENNET NAS 0168 /	281,000	12/ 9/	, ,	226,000	8C	330,000	1.50	330,000	
RIBOFLAVIN NAS 0169	121,000	45/ 34/ 6	/ /	65,100	54	150,000	2.30	153,000	

SUBSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970 POUNDAGE	******* REP		MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	RATIO	TCTAL 1975	
(SURVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	****N4S***	The same of the sa	PGUNDAGE	*	PCUNDAGE	75/70	LES PEPORTED	NCTES
RIEDFLAVIN 5-PHCSPHATE NAS 0170	41		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	O IN	PFSURVEY			
SACCHAPIN NAS 0171 FEMA 3672	2,640		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA FEPCRTE	DIN	RESURVEY			1 .
SAUSAGE CASINGS NAS 0313	5,750		INSUFF IC IEN	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESUPVEY			
SILICA AFPCSEL NAS 0174 FEMA 3506	32,300	15/ 12/ *	•/ /	19,200	59	76,000	4.00	82.7CC	
SILVER-SILVER DRAGEES NAS 0314	9,000		INSUFFICIENT	T DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY			
SODIUM ACFTATE NAS C175 FEMA 3024	210,000	12/ 10/ *	4/ 4/ *	170,0CC	81	116,000	.69	169,300	
SODIUM ACID PYROPHOS NAS 0176	20,900,000	34/ 30/ *	/ /	19,800,000	95	17,500,000	. 88	17,500,000	
SCOTUM ALGINATE NAS 0177 FEMA 2015	656,000	19/ 17/ 4	19/ 16/ *	629,000	96	1,150,000	1.80	1,170,000	
SODIUM ALUMINOSILICATE NAS 0178	4,590,000	20/ 19/ *	, ,	4,550,000	99	3,190,000	.70	3,190,300	
SODIUM ALUMINUM PHCS NAS 0179 FEMA 3657	23,300,000	26/ 21/ *	*/ */	21,200,000	91	13,800,000	.65	14,700,000	
SONTUM ASCORBATE NAS 0180 FEMA 3525	897,000	37/ 19/ *	*/ */	663,000	74	1,680,000	2.50	1,680,000	2
NAS 0181 FEMA 3025	3,320,000	77/ 61/ *	51/ 45/ *	2,840,000	86	4,700,000	1.70	4,730,000	
SODIUM BICARBONATE NAS G192 FEMA 3536	57,200,000	103/ 86/ *	*/ */	54,200,000	95	59,700,000	1.10	59,700,000	Ž
SODIUM BISULFITE NAS 0183	3,020,000	42/ 35/ *	, ,	2,970,030	98	4,050,000	1.40	4,050,300	2
SDDTUM CAL ALUMSIL HYD NAS 0184	, 33		INSUFFICIEN	T DATA REPORTE	D IN	PFSUPVĖY	:-		
SODIUM CARBONATE NAS C185	20,900,000,	20/ 16/	, ,	20,800,000	100	22,000,000	1.10	22,000,000	

SUPSTANCE NAME	TCTAL 1970 POUNDAGE		PCRTS******	MATCHED	1970	MATCHED 1975	RATIC	TCTAL 1975	
(SURVEY NR)	NAS + FEMA	****/15***	***FEMA***	POUNDAGE	3	POUNDAGE	75/7C	LBS REPURTED	NCTES
SCDIUM CASEINATE NAS 0187 FEMA 3550	13,200,000	33/ 27/ 6	*/ */	12,200,000	92	11,300,000	.53	11,500,300	-
SODIUM CHLORIDE NAS 0188 FEMA 3537	1,830,000,000	213/158/ 5	*/ */	1,740,000,000	95	1,420,000,000	. 82	1,420,000,000	2
SONIUM CHLORITE NAS 0316	400		INSUFFICIE	NT DATA REPORTE	D IN	RESURVEY		TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	
NAS 0189 FEMA 3026	16,300,000	72/ 55/ 6	39/ 36/ 6	15,000,000	92	14,100,000	.54	14,200,000	2
SODTIM CMC NAS 0186	3,730,000	44/ 37/	, ,	3,410,0CC	91	2,770,000	.81	2,770,000	
SODIUM DIACETATE NAS 0190 FEMA 3512	135,000	10/ 8/	*/ / -	109,000	81	377,000	3.50	377,200	
SCOTUM FRYTHORBATE NAS 0317	657,200	37/ 13/ *	, ,	402,000	61	525,000	1.3C	569,000	2
SCOTUM FLUGRIDE MAS 0318	. 176		INSUFFICIE	NT DATA REPORTE	DIN	RESURVEY			
SOTIUM GLUCONATE NAS 0171	49,500		INSUFFICIE	NT DATA REPORTE	D IN	RESURVEY	THE RESERVE AND A STREET PROPERTY.		
SCOTUM HYDROXIDE NAS 0192	15,800,000	27/ 20/ *	, ,	12,100,000	77	12,300,000	1.00	12,400,000	
SODIUM HYPOCHLOPITE NAS 0319	1,090,000	*/ */	, ,	1,090,000	100	600,000	. 55	600,000	74
SOUTUM METABLSULFITE NAS 0193	183,000	16/ 6/	, ,	65,700	36	85,600	1.30	85,600	2
SONTUM METAPHOSPHATE NAS 0194 FEMA 3027	2,680,000	23/ 17/ *	6/ 6/ *	2,550,000	95	4,690,000	1. EC	4,770,000	
SODIUM PHOSPHATE DI NAS 0197 FEMA 2398	11,400,000	36/ 29/ 4	14/ 10/ *	10,900,000	96	13,100,000	1.70	13,200,000	053
SONTUM PHOSPHATE MONO NAS 0198 FEMA 3534	2,340,000	21/ 19/ *	*/ /	2,320,000	99	257,000	. 11	320,000	1
SCOLUM PHOSPHATE TRI	5,010,000	16/ 13/ 4	, ,	4,710,000	94	2,470,000	. 52	2,620,300	

SUBSTANCE NAME	TOTAL 1970		PCRTS****** 975/NEW	MATCHED	1970	MATCHEC 1975	FATIU	TOTAL 1975	
(SURVEY NR)	NAS + FFMA	******	***FEMA**	PCLNDAGE	9			LBS REPORTED	NCTES
SOUTUM PROPIDNATE SCOT FERS 3513	1,540,000	27/ 22/	*/ /	831,000	54	228,300	.27	229,300	
SODIUM PYRGPHOSPHATE 8625 AMB 2020 SAN	2,730,000	25/ 21/ *	*/ */	1,210,000	54	1,870,000	1.50	1,990,000	
SODIUM SACCHARIN NAS 323 FEMA 2997	884,000	21/ 13/ 4	33/ 27/ *	762,000	86	1,780,000	2.30	1,810,000	1,2
SCOLUM SULFITE NAS 0706	175,000	14/ 10/	/ /	161,GCC	83	194,000	1.20	194,000	2
SOULT THIUSULFATE	1,230	*/ */	, ,	1,730	100	4,000	3.30	4,000	
SODIUM TRIPOLYPHOSPHATE MAS 0210 FEMA 3553	18,700,000	20/ 16/ #	*/ /	17,300,000	93	9,700,000	.56	9,770,000	
SOROIC ACID NAS 0211 FEMA 3624	904,000	28/ 25/ *	*/ */	895,000	29	1,420,330	1.60	1,450,000	.50-00-00-0
SORBITCL NAS 0212 FEMA 3029	7,620,000	42/ 31/ *	17/ 15/ *	2,590,000	34	5,640,000	2.20	24,000,000	1,2
STANDUS CHEORIDE NAS 0213	40,600	14/ 12/	/ /	28,000	69	22,900	.F2	27,900	
STAP CH, MODIFIED NAS 0325	7),400,000	98/ 77/ 4	, ,	58,000,000	82	98,500,000	1.70	102,000,000	ī
STARTEP DISTILLATE NAS 0326	1,010,000	6/ 5/ *	, ,	9,460	1	18,600	2.C0	24,100	
NAS 0215 FEMA 2605	295,000	*/ */ *	8/ 8/ *	61,600	21	33,400	. 54	40,700	
SUCCINIC ACID NAS 0216 FEMA 3569	383	*/ */	*/ */	383	100	2,330	6.10	2,330	
SUCPRISE NAS 0328	6,430,000,000	184/136/ *	, ,	5,790,000,000	90	4,880,000,000	. 64	5,000,000,000	1
SULFITES, STRONG ALKALI NAS 0329	44,100	10/ /	, ,						2
SULFUR DIOXIDE NAS 0217 FEMA 3039	8,590,000	15/ 13/	4/ */ *	8,490,000	99	9,550,000	1.10	9,570,300	